arXiv:1503.04543v1 [math.NT] 16 Mar 2015

Relation Modules of Dihedral Groups

Akinari Hoshi$^{(1)}$, Ming-chang Kang$^{(2)}$ and Aiichi Yamasaki$^{(3)}$

$^{(1)}$Department of Mathematics, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan, E-mail: hoshi@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp

$^{(2)}$Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: kang@math.ntu.edu.tw

$^{(3)}$Department of Mathematics, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, E-mail: aiichi.yamasaki@gmail.com

Abstract. Let $D_n = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ be the dihedral group of order $2n$ where $n \geq 2$. Let $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ be the free presentation of D_n where $F = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ is the free group of rank two and $\varepsilon(s_1) = \sigma$, $\varepsilon(s_2) = \tau$. The conjugation maps of F provide an action of F on R. Thus $R^{ab} := R/[R, R]$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}[D_n]$-lattice. R^{ab} is called the relation module of D_n by Gruenberg [Gr1; Gr2]. Theorem 1. If $n = 2^t$ where t is any positive integer, then R^{ab} is an indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}[D_n]$-lattice of rank $2n + 1$. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+$ where M_+ and $\widetilde{M}_+$ are $\mathbb{Z}[D_n]$-lattices of rank n and $n + 1$ respectively. Theorem 2. Let k be any field. If $n = 2$, $k(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is rational over k, i.e. purely transcendental over k. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n} = k(D_n)(t)$; thus, if Noether's problem for D_n over k has an affirmative answer (e.g. $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} \in k$), then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is rational over k. Theorem 3. Let K/k be a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) = D_n$. If n is an even integer ≥ 2, then $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is not stably rational over k. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is rational over k.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14E08, 11R33, 20C10, 20F05.

Keywords and phrases. Rationality problem, integral representation, free presentation, relation module, algebraic torus.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 24540019, 25400027.

Parts of the work were finished when the first-named author and the third-named author were visiting the National Center for Theoretic Sciences (Taipei Office), whose support is gratefully acknowledged.

§1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group, $F = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_d \rangle$ be the free group of rank d. A surjective homomorphism $\varepsilon : F \to G$ gives rise to a free presentation $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} G \to 1$ of G. Since R is a normal subgroup of F, F acts on R by the conjugation maps. Consequently G acts on $R^{ab} := R/[R, R]$ where $[R, R]$ is the commutator subgroup of R. Since R itself is a free group of rank $1 + (d-1)|G|$ by Schreier's Theorem [Kur, page 36], R^{ab} is a free abelian group of the same rank. It follows that R^{ab} becomes a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module which is a free abelian of finite rank, i.e. R^{ab} is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-lattice (in short, G-lattice) in the sense of [CR, page 524] and Section 2 of this article. In other words, R^{ab} provides an integral representation $G \to GL_t(\mathbb{Z})$ for some positive integer t (see [CR, Chapter 3]). The G-lattice R^{ab} is called the relation module of G by Gruenberg [Gr1; Gr2].

Consider the case $G = D_n = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$, the dihedral group of order $2n$ where $n \geq 2$.

Definition 1.1 Let $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ be a free presentation of D_n where $F = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ the free group of rank 2, and $\varepsilon(s_1) = \sigma$, $\varepsilon(s_2) = \tau$. The D_n-lattice R^{ab} is the subject we will study in Section 6. The relation module R^{ab} depends on the group G, the free group F, and also on the epimorphism $\varepsilon : F \to D_n$ (see [Gr2, page 79] for details).

In this article we will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ be the free presentation of D_n in Definition 1.1. If $n = 2^t$ where t is any positive integer, then the lattice R^{ab} is an indecomposable D_n-lattice of rank $2n+1$. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+$ where M_+ and $\widetilde{M}_+$ are D_n-lattices of rank n and $n+1$ respectively.*

Theorem 1.3 *Let $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ be the same free presentation as in Theorem 1.2. Let k be any field. If $n = 2$, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is rational over k. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n} = k(D_n)(t)$ for some element t transcendental over the field $k(D_n)$; consequently, if Noether's problem for D_n over the field k has an affirmative answer (e.g. $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} \in k$ where ζ_n is a primitive n-th root of unity), then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is rational over k.*

Theorem 1.4 *Let $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ be the same free presentation as in Theorem 1.2. Let K/k be a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) = D_n$. If n is an even integer ≥ 2, then $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is not retract rational over k; in particular, $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is not stably rational over k. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is rational over k.*

We remark that the decomposability of the relation module and the relation core of a finite group G is a central problem in Gruenberg's monograph [Gr1, Lecture 9]. Theorem 1.2 show that much more can be said for the decomposability when $G = D_n$.

We also note that, in Theorem 1.4, the case when $n = 2$ or 3 is solved by Kunyavskii [Ku1; Ku2]. The reader may find the definitions of the D_n-lattices M_+ and $\widetilde{M}_+$ in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.3. If k is a field and M is a G-lattice, we will define a multiplicative action of G on the field $k(M)$ in Definition 2.3. Thus we may consider the rationality problem of $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ in Theorem 1.3. Similarly we may consider the rationality problem of $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ if K/k is a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) = D_n$. The reader may find a description of Noether's problem and the definition of $k(D_n)$ of Theorem 1.3 in Definition 6.1. As to the terminology of k-rationality, stable k-rationality, retract k-rationality, see Definition 2.4.

So far as we know, the rationality problem of $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ was investigated first in a paper of Snider. His result is the following.

Theorem 1.5 (Snider [Sn]) *Let the notations be the same as in Theorem 1.3. Let k be any field. If $n = 2$, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3 and $\zeta_n \in k$, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is stably k-rational.*

The motivation of Snider to consider the above rationality problem came from the attempt to solve whether the norm residue morphism $R_{n,F} : K_2(F)/nK_2(F) \to H^2(F, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ is an isomorphism where F is a field [Mi, page 144]. For the surjectivity of $R_{n,F}$, Snider used the idea of generic crossed products with group G (G varies over all the possible finite groups); in particular, he considered the generic crossed products with group D_n. Thus the rationality of $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ enables him to solve the surjectivity of $R_{n,F}$ if the corresponding Brauer class is similar to a crossed product with group D_n. The isomorphism of $R_{n,F}$ was solved by Merkurjev and Suslin [MS] in 1983. However, the rationality of $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ or $k(R^{ab})^G$ (where G is any finite group) remains a challenging problem.

Our strategy to prove Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 is to study the structure of R^{ab} as a D_n-lattice. In Section 3, we construct various D_n-lattices when n is an odd integer. These D_n-lattices turn out to be fundamental building blocks of indecomposable D_p-lattices when p is an odd prime number. The classification of all the indecomposable D_p-lattices was solved by Myrma Pike Lee [Le] whose results will be interpreted in Section 4. We hope that such an interpretation may help the reader understand Lee's classification better.

In Section 3 we prove explicitly some identities of these D_n-lattices, e.g. $\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]$. It exemplifies the phenomenon that the Krull-Schmidt-Azumaya's Theorem [CR, page 128] may fail in the category of G-lattices. It also plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Theorem 5.6 we will characterize those groups G such that R^{ab} is stably permutation, as an application of a theorem of Endo and Miyata (Theorem 2.7). We study some homological properties of these lattices in Section 5, e.g. flabby, coflabby, invertible, flabby resolutions (see Definition 2.1). These homological properties are crucial in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 5 (see Theorem 5.8 and Theorem 5.9). A special case of this theorem when $n = 2$ will be reproved in Theorem 6.8. Two proofs will be given there: The first one uses computer packages, the second one is an application of Theorem 6.6. The proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are given in Section 6.

Terminology and notations. In this paper, all the groups G are finite groups. A free group F is called a free group of rank d if F is a free group on d generators $s_1, \ldots, s_d$. A free presentation of G, $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1$, is a surjective morphism $\pi : F \to G$ where F is a free group and R is the kernel of π. C_n and D_n denote the cyclic group of order n and the dihedral group of order $2n$. If R is a group, then $[R, R]$ is its commutator subgroup and R^{ab} denotes the quotient group $R/[R, R]$. When k is a field, we write $\gcd\{n, \operatorname{char} k\} = 1$ to denote the situation either $\operatorname{char} k = 0$ or $\operatorname{char} k = p > 0$ with $p \nmid n$. By ζ_n we mean a primitive n-th root of unity in some field. If M is a module over some ring A, we denote by $M^{(n)}$ the direct sum of n copies of M. In the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Section 5 we will write $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for the ring of 2-adic integers, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \text{proj } \lim_m \mathbb{Z}/2^m\mathbb{Z}$.

§2. Preliminaries of G-lattices

Let G be a finite group. Recall that a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module M is called a G-lattice if it is torsion-free as an abelian group. We define the rank of M: $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M = n$ if M is a free abelian group of rank n. If M is a G-lattice, define $M^0 := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$ which is also a G-lattice; M^0 is called the dual lattice of M.

A G-lattice M is called a permutation lattice if M has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis permuted by G. A G-lattice M is called stably permutation if $M \oplus P$ is a permutation lattice where P is some permutation lattice. M is called an invertible lattice if it is a direct summand of some permutation lattice. A G-lattice M is called a flabby lattice if $H^{-1}(S, M) = 0$ for any subgroup S of G; it is called coflabby if $H^1(S, M) = 0$ for any subgroup S of G. If S is a subgroup of G, we will write $\mathbb{Z}[G/S]$ for the permutation lattice $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[S]} \mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[S]$-lattice. For the basic notions of G-lattices, see [Sw1; Lo].

Let G be a finite group. Two G-lattices M_1 and M_2 are similar, denoted by $M_1 \sim M_2$, if $M_1 \oplus P_1 \simeq M_2 \oplus P_2$ for some permutation G-lattices P_1 and P_2. The flabby class monoid F_G is the class of all flabby G-lattices under the similarity relation. In particular, if M is a flabby lattice, $[M] \in F_G$ denotes the equivalence class containing M; we define $[M_1] + [M_2] = [M_1 \oplus M_2]$ and thus F_G becomes an abelian monoid [Sw1].

Definition 2.1 Let G be a finite group, M be any G-lattice. Then M has a flabby resolution, i.e. there is an exact sequence of G-lattices: $0 \to M \to P \to E \to 0$ where P is a permutation lattice and E is a flabby lattice. The class $[E] \in F_G$ is uniquely determined by the lattice M [Sw1]. We define $[M]^{fl} = [E] \in F_G$, following the nomenclature in [Lo, page 38]. Sometimes we will say that $[M]^{fl}$ is permutation or invertible if the class $[E]$ contains a permutation or invertible lattice.

Definition 2.2 Let K/k be a finite Galois field extension with $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_i$ be a G-lattice. We define an action of G on $K(M) = K(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, the rational function field of n variables over K, by $\sigma \cdot x_j = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i^{a_{ij}}$ if $\sigma \cdot e_j = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_{ij} e_i \in M$, for any $\sigma \in G$ (note that G acts on K also). The fixed field is denoted by $K(M)^G$.

If T is an algebraic torus over k satisfying $T \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(K) \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,K}^n$ where $\mathbb{G}_{m,K}$ is the one-dimensional multiplicative group over K, then $M := \mathrm{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_{m,K})$ is a G-lattice and the function field of T over k is isomorphic to $K(M)^G$ by Galois descent [Sw1, page 36; Vo; Ku3].

Definition 2.3 We give a generalization of $K(M)^G$ in Definition 2.2. Let $M = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot e_i$ be a G-lattice, k'/k be a finite Galois extension field such that there is a surjection $G \to \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$. Thus G acts naturally on k' by k-automorphisms. We define an action of G on $k'(M) = k'(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in a similar way as $K(M)$. The fixed field is denoted by $k'(M)^G$. The action of G on $k'(M)$ is called a purely quasi-monomial action in [HKK, Definition 1.1]; it is possible that G acts faithfully on k' (the case $k' = K$) or trivially on k' (the case $k' = k$).

We recall the notions of rationality, stable rationality and retract rationality.

Definition 2.4 Let $k \subset L$ be a field extension. The field L is rational over k (in short, k-rational) if, for some n, $L \simeq k(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, the rational function field of n variables over k. L is called stably rational over k (or, stably k-rational) if the field $L(Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$ is k-rational where $Y_1, \ldots, Y_m$ are some elements algebraically independent over L. When k is an infinite field, L is called retract k-rational, if there exist an affine domain A whose quotient field is L and k-algebra morphisms $\varphi : A \to k[X_1, \ldots, X_n][1/f]$, $\psi : k[X_1, \ldots, X_n][1/f] \to A$ satisfying $\psi \circ \varphi = 1_A$ the identity map on A where $k[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over k, $f \in k[X_1, \ldots, X_n] \backslash \{0\}$ [Sa, Definition 3.1; Ka, Definition 1.1].

It is known that "k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "stably k-rational" $\Rightarrow$ "retract k-rational". Moreover, if k is an algebraic number field, retract k-rationality of $k(G)$ implies the inverse Galois problem is true for the field k and the group G [Sa, Ka] (see Definition 6.1 for the definition of $k(G)$).

Theorem 2.5 *Let K/k be a finite Galois extension field, $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$ and M be a G-lattice.*

(1) (Voskresenskii, Endo and Miyata [EM1, Theorem 1.2; Len, Theorem 1.7]) $K(M)^G$ *is stably k-rational if and only if $[M]^{fl}$ is permutation, i.e. there exists a short exact sequence of G-lattices $0 \to M \to P_1 \to P_2 \to 0$ where P_1 and P_2 are permutation G-lattices.*

(2) (Saltman [Sa, Theorem 3.14; Ka, Theorem 2.8]) $K(M)^G$ *is retract k-rational if and only if $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible.*

Theorem 2.6 (Endo and Miyata [EM2, Theorem 1.5; Sw2, Theorem 4.4]) *Let G be a finite group. Then all the flabby (resp. coflabby) G-lattices are invertible $\Leftrightarrow$ all the Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic $\Leftrightarrow$ $[I_G^0]^{fl}$ is invertible where I_G is the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.*

Theorem 2.7 (Endo and Miyata [EM2, Theorem 2.3]) *Let G be a finite group, I_G be the augmentation ideal of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Then $[I_G^0]^{fl} = 0$ if and only if G is isomorphic to the cyclic group C_n where n is any positive integer, or the group $\langle \rho, \sigma, \tau : \rho^m = \sigma^n = \tau^{2^d} = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}, \rho\sigma = \sigma\rho, \rho\tau = \tau\rho \rangle$ where m and n are odd integers, $n \geq 3$, $d \geq 1$ and* $\gcd\{m, n\} = 1$.

§3. Some D_n-lattices

Throughout this section, G denotes the group $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ where $n \geq 3$ is an odd integer, i.e. G is the dihedral group D_n. Define $H = \langle \tau \rangle$.

We will construct six G-lattices which will become indecomposable G-lattices if $n = p$ is an odd prime number.

Definition 3.1 Let $G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ be the dihedral group defined before. Define G-lattices M_+ and M_- by $M_+ = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{Z}$, $M_- = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{Z}_-$ the induced lattices where $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_-$ are H-lattices such that τ acts on $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot u$, $\mathbb{Z}_- = \mathbb{Z} \cdot u'$ by $\tau \cdot u = u$, $\tau \cdot u' = -u'$ respectively (note that u and u' are the generators of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_-$ as abelian groups). By choosing a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for M_+ corresponding to $\sigma^i u \in \operatorname{Ind}_H^G \mathbb{Z}$ (where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$), the actions of σ and τ on M_+ are given by the $n \times n$ integral matrices

$$\sigma \mapsto A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \mapsto B = \left(\begin{array}{cccc:c} & & & 1 & \\ & & \iddots & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \\ \hdashline & & & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Similarly, for a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for M_- corresponding to $\sigma^i u'$, the actions of σ and τ are given by

$$\sigma \mapsto A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \mapsto -B = \left(\begin{array}{cccc:c} & & & -1 & \\ & & \iddots & & \\ & -1 & & & \\ -1 & & & & \\ \hdashline & & & & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Definition 3.2 As before, $G = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle \simeq D_n$. Let $f(\sigma) = 1 + \sigma + \cdots + \sigma^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Since $\tau \cdot f(\sigma) = f(\sigma) \cdot \tau$, the left $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-ideal $\mathbb{Z}[G] \cdot f(\sigma)$ is a two-sided ideal; as an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$, we denote it by $\langle f(\sigma) \rangle$. The natural projection $\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle$ induces an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle$ and the twisted group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \circ H$ (see [CR, p.589]). Explicitly, let ζ_n be a primitive n-th root of unity. Then $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \circ H = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \cdot \tau$ and $\tau \cdot \zeta_n = \zeta_n^{-1}$. If $n = p$ is an odd prime number, $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p] \circ H$ is a hereditary order [CR, pages 593–595]. Note that we have the following fibre product diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}[G] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n] \circ H \arrow[d] \\
\mathbb{Z}[H] \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathbb{Z}}[H]
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (compare with [CR, page 748, (34.43)]).

Using the G-lattices M_+ and M_- in Definition 3.1, define $N_+ = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} M_+ = M_+/f(\sigma)M_+$, $N_- = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} M_- = M_-/f(\sigma)M_-$.

The $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle$-lattices N_+ and N_- may be regarded as G-lattices through the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra morphism $\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle f(\sigma) \rangle$. By choosing a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for N_+ corresponding to $\sigma^i u$ where $1 \le i \le n-1$, the actions of σ and τ on N_+ are given by the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ integral matrices

$$\sigma \mapsto A' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ & 1 & 0 & & & -1 \\ & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \mapsto B' = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \iddots & \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, the actions of σ and τ on N_- are given by

$$\sigma \mapsto A' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & & & & -1 \\ & 1 & 0 & & & -1 \\ & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & 0 & -1 \\ & & & & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau \mapsto -B' = \begin{pmatrix} & & & -1 \\ & & \iddots & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 3.3 We will use the G-lattices M_+ and M_- in Definition 3.1 to construct G-lattices $\widetilde{M}_+$ and $\widetilde{M}_-$ which are of rank $n+1$ satisfying the short exact sequences of G-lattices

$$0 \to M_+ \to \widetilde{M}_+ \to \mathbb{Z}_- \to 0$$

$$0 \to M_- \to \widetilde{M}_- \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice structures of $\widetilde{M}_+$ and $\widetilde{M}_-$ will be described below and $\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot w$, $\mathbb{Z}_- = \mathbb{Z} \cdot w'$ are G-lattices defined by $\sigma \cdot w = w$, $\tau \cdot w = w$, $\sigma \cdot w' = w'$, $\tau \cdot w' = -w'$.

Let $\{w_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M_+ in Definition 3.1. As a free abelian group, $\widetilde{M}_+ = (\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot w_i) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot w$. Define the actions of σ and τ on $\widetilde{M}_+$ by the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ integral matrices

$$\sigma \mapsto \tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} A & \\ \hdashline & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad \tau \mapsto \tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} & 1 \\ B & \vdots \\ & 1 \\ \hdashline & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Similarly, let $\{w_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M_- in Definition 3.1, and $\widetilde{M}_- = (\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} w_i) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot w'$. Define the actions of σ and τ on $\widetilde{M}_-$ by

$$\sigma \mapsto \tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} A & \\ \hdashline & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad \tau \mapsto -\tilde{B} = \left(\begin{array}{c:c} & -1 \\ -B & \vdots \\ & -1 \\ \hdashline & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

In the remaining part of this section, we will show that $\widetilde{M}_+$ and $\widetilde{M}_-$ are stably permutation G-lattices, and $\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_-$ is a permutation G-lattice.

Theorem 3.4 *Let $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then $\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle]$.*

Proof. Let u_0, u_1 be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$ correspond to 1, τ. Then $\sigma : u_0 \mapsto u_0$, $u_1 \mapsto u_1$, $\tau : u_0 \leftrightarrow u_1$.

Let $\{v_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle]$ correspond to σ^i where $0 \le i \le n-1$. Then $\sigma : v_i \mapsto v_{i+1}$ (where the index is understood modulo n), $\tau : v_i \mapsto v_{n-i}$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.

It follows that $u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle]$.

Define

$$t = u_0 + u_1 + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} v_i,$$

$$x = u_0 + u_1 + \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} v_i, \quad y = \frac{n-1}{2} u_0 + \frac{n+1}{2} u_1 + \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} v_i.$$

Since $\sum_{0 \le i \le n} \sigma^i \cdot (v_1 + \cdots + v_{n-1}) = (n-1) \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} v_i$, it follows that $\tau \cdot y = -y + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} \sigma^i(x)$. Then it is routine to verify that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma^i(x) \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot y \simeq \widetilde{M}_+, \quad \mathbb{Z} \cdot t \simeq \mathbb{Z}$$

by checking the actions of σ and τ on lattices in both sides.

Now we will show that $\sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(x), x, y, t$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle]$. Write the determinant of these $n+2$ elements with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_0, u_1, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$. We get the coefficient matrix T as

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{cccc:cc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & \frac{n+1}{2} & 1 \\
\hdashline
1 & 1 & & 0 & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 \\
0 & 1 & & 1 & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 \\
1 & 0 & & 1 & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\underbrace{1 \quad 1}_{} & & & \underbrace{1}_{n \text{ columns}} & \frac{n-1}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \left.\begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}\right\} n \text{ rows} \end{array}$$

The determinant of T may be calculated as follows: Subtract the last column from each of the first n columns. Also subtract $\frac{n-1}{2}$ times of the last column from the $(n+1)$-th column. Then it is easy to see $\det(T) = 1$. ■

Theorem 3.5 *Let $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then $\widetilde{M}_- \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.4.

Let $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, t$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ where u_i, v_j correspond to σ^i, $\sigma^j\tau$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The actions of σ and τ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma : u_i \mapsto u_{i+1},\ v_j \mapsto v_{j+1},\ t \mapsto t, \\
&\tau : u_i \leftrightarrow v_{n-i},\ t \mapsto t
\end{aligned}$$

where the index of u_i or v_j is understood modulo n.

Define $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$x = u_0 - v_0, \quad y = \left(\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} u_i\right) + t,$$

$$z = \left(\sum_{1 \le i \le \frac{n-1}{2}} u_i\right) + \left(\sum_{\frac{n+1}{2} \le j \le n-1} v_j\right) + t.$$

We claim that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma^i(x)\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot y \simeq \widetilde{M}_-, \qquad \bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma^i(z) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle].$$

Since $\tau(x) = -x$, $\tau(z) = z$, it follows that $\tau \cdot \sigma^i(x) = -\sigma^{n-i}(x)$, $\tau \cdot \sigma^i(z) = \sigma^{n-i}(z)$. The remaining proof is omitted.

Now we will show that $\sigma(x), \sigma^2(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(x), x, y, \sigma(z), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(z)$, z form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Write the coefficient matrix of these elements with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, t$. We get $\det(T_n)$ where T_n is a $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ integral matrix. For example,

$$T_3 = \left(\begin{array}{ccc:c:ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hdashline
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hdashline
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right).$$

For any n, we evaluate $\det(T_n)$ by adding the i-th row to $(i+n)$-th row of T_n for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We find that $\det(T_n) = \pm \det(T')$ where T' is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ integral matrix. Note that all the entries of the i-th row of T' (where $1 \leq i \leq n$) are one except one position, because of the definition of z (and those of $\sigma^i(z)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$). Subtract the last row from the i-th row where $1 \leq i \leq n$. We find $\det(T') = \pm 1$. ■

Before proving Theorem 3.7, we define the following matrix first. Let

$$\operatorname{Circ}(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix}
c_0 & c_{n-1} & \cdots & c_2 & c_1 \\
c_1 & c_0 & c_{n-1} & & c_2 \\
\vdots & c_1 & c_0 & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{n-2} & & \ddots & \ddots & c_{n-1} \\
c_{n-1} & c_{n-2} & \cdots & c_1 & c_0
\end{pmatrix}$$

be the $n \times n$ circulant matrix whose determinant is

$$\det(\operatorname{Circ}(c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1})) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (c_0 + c_1 \zeta_n^k + \cdots + c_{n-1} \zeta_n^{(n-1)k}).$$

Lemma 3.6 *Let $n \geq 3$ be an odd integer.*

(1) $\det(\operatorname{Circ}(\overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, \overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}})) = \dfrac{n-1}{2}$.

(2) $\det(\operatorname{Circ}(\overbrace{-1, \ldots, -1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}, 0, \overbrace{1, \ldots, 1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, 0)) = -1$.

Proof. (1) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}})) &= \prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(1+\zeta_n^k+\cdots+\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}k}\right)\\ &= \frac{n-1}{2}\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(1+\zeta_n^k+\cdots+\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}k}\right)\\ &= \frac{n-1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

because $1+\zeta_n+\cdots+\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}}=\frac{1-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{1-\zeta_n}$ is a cyclotomic unit with

$$\left(\frac{1-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}{1-\zeta_n}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1-\zeta_n}{1-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}=\frac{1-\zeta_n}{1-\zeta_n^{n-1}}(1+\zeta_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}})=-\zeta_n(1+\zeta_n^{\frac{n-1}{2}}).$$

(2) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}&\det(\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},0,\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}},0))\\ &=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(-1-\zeta_n^k-\cdots-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}k}+\zeta_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}k}+\cdots+\zeta_n^{(n-2)k}\right)\\ &=(-1)\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(-1-\zeta_n^k-\cdots-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}k}+\zeta_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}k}+\cdots+\zeta_n^{(n-2)k}\right)\\ &=-1\end{aligned}$$

because $-1-\zeta_n-\cdots-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}}+\zeta_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_n^{n-2}$ is a unit with

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(-1-\zeta_n-\cdots-\zeta_n^{\frac{n-3}{2}}+\zeta_n^{\frac{n+1}{2}}+\cdots+\zeta_n^{n-2}\big)^{-1}\\ &=\begin{cases}\sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}(-1)^k\zeta_n^k & n\equiv 1 \pmod 4\\ -\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(-1)^k\zeta_n^{-k} & n\equiv 3 \pmod 4).\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

■

Theorem 3.7 *Let $G=\langle\sigma,\tau:\sigma^n=\tau^2=1,\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}=\sigma^{-1}\rangle\simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then $\widetilde{M_+}\oplus\widetilde{M_-}\simeq\mathbb{Z}[G]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$.*

Proof. Let $u_0,u_1,\dots,u_{n-1},v_0,v_1,\dots,v_{n-1}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ where u_i, v_j correspond to σ^i, $\sigma^j\tau$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. The actions of σ and τ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma: u_i\mapsto u_{i+1},\ v_j\mapsto v_{j+1},\\ &\tau: u_i\leftrightarrow v_{n-i}\end{aligned}$$

where the index of u_i or v_j is understood modulo n. Let t_0, t_1 be the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\langle\sigma\rangle]$ correspond to 1, τ. Then $\sigma : t_0 \mapsto t_0,\ t_1 \mapsto t_1,\ \tau : t_0 \leftrightarrow t_1$.

Define $x, y_0, z, y_1 \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= u_0 + \left(\sum_{\frac{n+3}{2} \le i \le n-1} u_i\right) + \left(\sum_{2 \le j \le \frac{n+1}{2}} v_j\right) + t_0 + t_1,\\
y_0 &= \frac{n-1}{2}\left(\sum_{0 \le j \le n-1} v_j\right) + t_0 + (n-1)t_1,\\
z &= u_0 + u_1 + \left(\sum_{\frac{n+3}{2} \le i \le n-1} u_i\right) - \left(\sum_{1 \le j \le \frac{n+1}{2}} v_j\right) + t_0 - t_1,\\
y_1 &= \left(\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} u_i\right) - \frac{n-1}{2}\left(\sum_{0 \le j \le n-1} v_j\right) + t_0 - (n-1)t_1.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma^i(x)\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot y_0 \simeq \widetilde{M}_+, \quad \left(\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \sigma^i(z)\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot y_1 \simeq \widetilde{M}_-.$$

It remains to show that $\sigma^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(x), x, \sigma(x), \ldots, \sigma^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(x), y_0, \sigma^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(z), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(z), z, \sigma(z), \ldots, \sigma^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(z), y_1$ form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$. Let Q be the coefficient matrix of $\sigma^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(x), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(x), x, \sigma(x), \ldots, \sigma^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(x), y_0, \sigma^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(z), \ldots, \sigma^{n-1}(z), z, \sigma(z), \ldots, \sigma^{\frac{n-5}{2}}(z), y_1$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, t_0, t_1$.

The matrix Q is defined as

$$Q = \left(\begin{array}{c:c:c:c}
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\ldots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix} & \mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\ldots,1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}1\\ \vdots\\ 1\end{matrix}\\
\hdashline
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}},\overbrace{1,\ldots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}\frac{n-1}{2}\\ \vdots\\ \frac{n-1}{2}\end{matrix} & \mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{0,\ldots,0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{-1,\ldots,-1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}-\frac{n-1}{2}\\ \vdots\\ -\frac{n-1}{2}\end{matrix}\\
\hdashline
1 \quad \cdots \quad 1 & 1 & 1 \quad \cdots \quad 1 & 1\\
\hdashline
\underbrace{1 \quad \cdots \quad 1}_{n} & n-1 & \underbrace{-1 \quad \cdots \quad -1}_{n} & -(n-1)
\end{array}\right)
\begin{matrix}\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix}}\right\} n\\ \left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix}}\right\} n\\ \\ \\ \end{matrix}.$$

For examples, when $n = 3, 5$, Q is of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c|ccc|c}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ \hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2
\end{array}\right)$$

and

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc|c|ccccc|c}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ \hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -4
\end{array}\right).$$

We will show that $\det(Q) = -1$. For a given matrix, we denote by (Ci) its i-th column. When we say that, apply $(Ci)+(C1)$ on the i-th column, we mean the column operation by adding the 1st column to the i-th column.

On the $(2n+2)$-th column, apply $C(2n+2)+C(n+1)$. On the $(n+1)$-th column, apply $C(n+1)+\frac{n-1}{2}(C(2n+2))$. On the $(n+1)$-th column, apply $C(n+1)-(C1)-\cdots-(Cn)$. Then all the entries of the $(n+1)$-th column are zero except for the last $(2n+2)$-th entry, which is -1. Hence it is enough to show $\det(Q_0) = 1$ where Q_0 is a $(2n+1)\times(2n+1)$ matrix defined by

$$Q_0 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) & \mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}1\\ \vdots\\ 1\end{matrix} \\ \hline
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}},\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix} \\ \hline
\underbrace{1 \quad \cdots \quad 1}_{n} & \underbrace{1 \quad \cdots \quad 1}_{n} & 2
\end{array}\right)
\begin{array}{l}
\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}1\\ \vdots\\ 1\end{matrix}}\right\} n \\
\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix}}\right\} n \\
\vphantom{\underbrace{1}_{n}}
\end{array}.$$

On the $(n+i)$-th column, apply $C(n+i)+C(f(\frac{n+1}{2}+i))$ for $i=1,\dots n$ where

$$f(k)=\begin{cases} k & k\le n\\ k-n & k>n.\end{cases}$$

On the $(n+i)$-th column, apply $C(n+i)-C(2n+1)$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. On the $(2n+1)$-th column, apply $C(2n+1)-\frac{2}{n-1}\{(C1)+\cdots+(Cn)\}$. Thus we get $\det(Q_0)=\det(Q_1)$ where

$$Q_1=\left(\begin{array}{c:c:c}
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) & \mathbf{O} & \begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix} \\ \hdashline
\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{0,\dots,0}^{\frac{n+1}{2}},\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \mathrm{Circ}(0,\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}},0,\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) & \begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix} \\ \hdashline
\underbrace{1\qquad\cdots\qquad 1}_{n} & \underbrace{0\qquad\cdots\qquad 0}_{n} & -\frac{2}{n-1}
\end{array}\right)\begin{array}{l}\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix}}\right\}n\\ \left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}0\\ \vdots\\ 0\end{matrix}}\right\}n\\ \vphantom{\frac{2}{n-1}}\end{array}.$$

Because of Lemma 3.6 and

$$\det(\mathrm{Circ}(0,\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}},0,\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}))=\det(\mathrm{Circ}(\overbrace{-1,\dots,-1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}},0,\overbrace{1,\dots,1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}},0)),$$

we find $\det(Q_1)=1$. ■

Proposition 3.8 *Let $G\simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. The all the flabby G-lattices are invertible. Consequently, if K/k is a Galois extension with Galois group G and M is any G-lattices, then the fixed field $K(M)^G$ is retract k-rational.*

Proof. Since all the Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic, the flabby G-lattices are invertible by Theorem 2.6. Apply Theorem 2.5 because $[M]^{fl}$ is invertible. ■

§4. Integral representations of D_p

Let $G=\langle\sigma,\tau:\sigma^p=\tau^2=1,\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}=\sigma^{-1}\rangle\simeq D_p$ where p is an odd prime number. Define $H=\langle\tau\rangle$.

Denote ζ_p a primitive p-th root of unity, $R=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, $R_0=\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p+\zeta_p^{-1}]$, h_p^+ the class number of R_0, $P=\langle 1-\zeta_p\rangle$ the unique maximal ideal of R lying over $\langle p\rangle\subset\mathbb{Z}$. We may regard R as a G-lattice by defining, for any $\alpha\in R$, $\sigma\cdot\alpha=\zeta_p\alpha$, $\tau\cdot\alpha=\bar{\alpha}$ the complex conjugate of α. Note that R is a G-lattice of rank $p-1$; it is even a lattice over $\mathbb{Z}[G]/\Phi_p(\sigma)\simeq\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]\circ H$, the twisted group ring defined in Definition 3.2.

If $I \subset R$ is an ideal with $\sigma(I) \subset I$, $\tau(I) \subset I$, then I may be regarded as a G-lattice also. In particular, if $\mathcal{A} \subset R_0$ is an ideal, then $R\mathcal{A}$, $P\mathcal{A}$ are G-lattices of rank $p-1$.

A complete list of non-isomorphic indecomposable G-lattices was proved by Myrna Pike Lee [Le]. In the following we adopt the reformulation of Lee's Theorem in [CR, page 752, Theorem (34.51)]. In the following theorem $\mathbb{Z}_-$ is the G-lattice on which σ acts trivially, and τ acts as multiplication by -1.

Theorem 4.1 (M. P. Lee [Le; CR, page 752]) *Let $G \simeq D_p$ where p is an odd prime number. Let $\mathcal{A}$ range over a full set of representatives of the h_p^+ ideal classes of R_0 where $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$, $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$, $P = \langle 1 - \zeta_p \rangle$. Then there are precisely $7h_p^+ + 3$ isomorphism classes of indecomposable G-lattices, and there are represented by*

$$\mathbb{Z},\ \mathbb{Z}_-,\ \mathbb{Z}[H] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle];\ R\mathcal{A},\ P\mathcal{A};$$

and the non-split extensions

$$\begin{aligned} &0 \to P\mathcal{A} \to V_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0, && 0 \to R\mathcal{A} \to X_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}_- \to 0, \\ &0 \to R\mathcal{A} \to (Y_0)_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0, && 0 \to P\mathcal{A} \to (Y_1)_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0, \\ &0 \to R\mathcal{A} \oplus P \to (Y_2)_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0. && \end{aligned}$$

Remark. In the above theorem, the words "the non-split extensions $0 \to P\mathcal{A} \to V_\mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, ..." means that, if M is an indecomposable G-lattice satisfying that $0 \to P\mathcal{A} \to M \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, then $M \simeq V_\mathcal{A}$ as G-lattices, i.e. there is essentially a unique indecomposable lattice arising from an extension of $\mathbb{Z}$ by $P\mathcal{A}$. See [CR, pages 711-730] and the proof in Lee's paper [Le].

Definition 4.2 In Theorem 4.1, when $\mathcal{A}$ is a principal ideal in R_0, we will write the corresponding G-lattices by R, P, $0 \to P \to V \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, $0 \to R \to X \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, $0 \to R \to Y_0 \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0$, $0 \to P \to Y_1 \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0$, $0 \to R \oplus P \to Y_2 \to \mathbb{Z}[H] \to 0$.

If l is a prime number of $\mathbb{Z}$, denote by $\mathbb{Z}_l = \{m/n : m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \nmid n\}$ the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ at the prime ideal $\langle l \rangle$. Since $(R_0)_l = \mathbb{Z}_l[\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}]$ is a semi-local principal ideal domain, we find that $\mathcal{A}_l$ is a principal ideal in $(R_0)_l$ for any prime number l.

It follows that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is any ideal in R_0, then R and $R\mathcal{A}$, P and $P\mathcal{A}$, V and $(V)_\mathcal{A}$, X and $X_\mathcal{A}$, ... belong to the same genus, i.e. they become isomorphic after localization at any prime ideal $\langle l \rangle$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ (see [CR, page 642]).

We will show that M_+, M_-, N_+, N_-, $\widetilde{M}_+$, $\widetilde{M}_-$ defined in Section 3 are isomorphic to V, X, R, P, Y_0, Y_1 when $n = p$ is an odd prime number.

Lemma 4.3 *Let N_+ and N_- be the G-lattices with $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. If $n = p$ is an odd prime number, then $N_+ \simeq R$ and $N_- \simeq P$.*

Proof. By definition, when $n = p$, N_+ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{u_i : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$ with $\sigma : u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{p-1} \mapsto -(u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{p-1})$, $\tau : u_i \leftrightarrow u_{p-i}$. On the other hand, $R = \sum_{0 \le i \le p-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \zeta_p^i$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\zeta_p^i : 1 \le i \le p-1\}$ with $\sigma : \zeta_p \mapsto \zeta_p^2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \zeta_p^{p-1} \mapsto -(\zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{p-1})$, $\tau : \zeta_p^i \leftrightarrow \zeta_p^{p-i}$. Hence the result.

For the proof of $N_- \simeq P$, note that $P = R(1-\zeta_p) = \sum_{0 \le i \le p-1} \mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p^i - \zeta_p^{i+1})$. Define $v_0 = \zeta_p^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - \zeta_p^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$, $v_i = \sigma^i(v_0)$ for $0 \le i \le p-1$. Then $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{p-1}\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of P with $\sigma : v_1 \mapsto v_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto v_{p-1} \mapsto -(v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_{p-1})$ and $\tau : v_i \leftrightarrow -v_{p-i}$ because $\tau(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{-1}$. Thus $P \simeq N_-$. ■

Lemma 4.4 *Let M_+, M_-, N_+, N_- be G-lattices with $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then there are non-split exact sequences of G-lattices $0 \to N_- \to M_+ \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, $0 \to N_+ \to M_- \to \mathbb{Z}_- \to 0$. When $n = p$ is an odd prime number, then $M_+ \simeq V$, $M_- \simeq X$.*

Proof. Case 1. M_+.

By definition, choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{x_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ of M_+ such that $\sigma : x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}$, $\tau : x_i \leftrightarrow x_{n-i}$ where the index is understood modulo n.

Define $u_0 = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $t = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $u_i = \sigma^i(u_0)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.

It follows that $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} u_i = 0$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M_+ with σ and τ acting by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &: u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{n-1} \mapsto u_0 = -(u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}), \\ & \quad t \mapsto t + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}, \\ \tau &: u_i \leftrightarrow -u_{n-i},\ t \mapsto t + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i \simeq N_-$ and $M_+/(\sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we get the sequence $0 \to N_- \to M_+ \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$.

This sequence doesn't split. Otherwise, there is some element $s \in M_+$ such that $\sigma(s) = \tau(s) = s$, and $\{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}, s\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M_+.

Write $s = \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} a_i \cdot u_i + b \cdot t$ where $a_i, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, s\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M_+, we find that $b = \pm 1$.

Consider the case $b = -1$ (the situation $b = 1$ can be discussed similarly). Since $\tau(\sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} a_i u_i - t) = \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} a_i u_i - t$, we find that $a_i - 1 = a_{n-i}$ and $a_{n-i} - 1 = a_i$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$. This is impossible.

Now assume that $n = p$ is an odd prime number. We will show that $M_+ \simeq V$.

By Lemma 4.3, $N_- \simeq P$. Thus we have a non-split extension $0 \to P \to M_+ \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$. Then apply the remark after Theorem 4.1. More precisely, it is proved in [Le, page 221] that, up to G-lattice isomorphisms, there is precisely one indecomposable G-lattice arising from extensions of $\mathbb{Z}$ by P, although $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, P) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by [Le, Lemma 2.1]. Since $0 \to P \to V \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ is a non-split extension by Theorem 4.1, we conclude that $M_+ \simeq V$.

Case 2. M_-.

The proof is similar to Case 1. Choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{x_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ of M_- with $\sigma : x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}$, $\tau : x_i \mapsto -x_{n-i}$.

Define $u_0 = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $t = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $u_i = \sigma^i(u_0)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma : {} & u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{n-1} \mapsto -(u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}),\\ & t \mapsto t + u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1},\\ \tau : {} & u_i \leftrightarrow u_{n-i},\ t \mapsto -t - u_1 - u_2 - \cdots - u_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i \simeq N_+$ and $M_-/(\sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} u_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_-$.

Similarly, the sequence $0 \to N_+ \to M_- \to \mathbb{Z}_- \to 0$ doesn't split.

The proof of $M_- \simeq X$ when $n = p$ is a prime number is the same. ■

Lemma 4.5 *Let N_+, N_-, $\widetilde{M}_+$, $\widetilde{M}_-$ be G-lattices with $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then there are non-split exact sequences of G-lattices $0 \to N_+ \to \widetilde{M}_- \to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle] \to 0$, $0 \to N_- \to \widetilde{M}_+ \to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle] \to 0$. When $n = p$ is an odd prime number, then $\widetilde{M}_- \simeq Y_0$, $\widetilde{M}_+ \simeq Y_1$.*

Proof. Case 1. $\widetilde{M}_+$.

We adopt the same notations $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ in the proof of Lemma 4.4. Write $\widetilde{M}_+ = (\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot x_i) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot w$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &: x_i \mapsto x_{i+1},\ w \mapsto w,\\ \tau &: x_i \mapsto x_{n-i},\ w \mapsto -w + x_0 + x_1 + \cdots + x_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

where the index is understood modulo n.

Define $u_0 = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $t = x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $u_i = \sigma^i(u_0)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.

We claim that $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} x_i = nt - (n-1)u_0 - (n-2)u_1 - \cdots - u_{n-2}$.

Since $x_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = t$, $x_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = t - u_0$, we find that $x_{\frac{n+3}{2}} = x_{\frac{n+1}{2}} - u_1 = t - u_0 - u_1$. By induction, we may find similar formulae for $x_{\frac{n+5}{2}}, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_0, \ldots, x_{\frac{n-3}{2}}$. In particular, $x_{\frac{n-3}{2}} = t - u_0 - u_1 - \cdots - u_{n-2}$. Thus the formula of $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} x_i$ is found.

Note that $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, t, w\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\widetilde{M}_+$ and

$$\sigma : u_1 \mapsto u_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto u_{n-1} \mapsto -(u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1}),\ t \mapsto t + \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i,\ w \mapsto w,$$

$$\tau : u_i \mapsto -u_{n-i},\ t \mapsto t + \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i,\ w \mapsto -w + u_1 + 2u_2 + \cdots + (n-1)u_{n-1} + nt.$$

Define $w_0 = -\frac{n-1}{2}t + w$, $w_1 = \frac{n+1}{2}t - w$. Then $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, w_0, w_1\}$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\widetilde{M}_+$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma : {} & w_0 \mapsto w_0 - \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i,\ w_1 \mapsto w_1 + \frac{n+1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i,\\ \tau : {} & w_0 \mapsto w_1 - \tfrac{n-3}{2}u_1 - \tfrac{n-5}{2}u_2 - \cdots - u_{\frac{n-3}{2}} + u_{\frac{n+1}{2}} + 2u_{\frac{n+3}{2}} + \cdots + \tfrac{n-1}{2}u_{n-1},\\ & w_1 \mapsto w_0 + \tfrac{n-1}{2}u_1 + \tfrac{n-3}{2}u_2 + \cdots + u_{\frac{n-1}{2}} - u_{\frac{n+3}{2}} - 2u_{\frac{n+5}{2}} - \cdots - \tfrac{n-3}{2}u_{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot u_i \simeq N_-$ and $\widetilde{M}_+/(\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot u_i)\simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$. It follows that we get an exact sequence $0\to N_-\to \widetilde{M}_+\to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]\to 0$.

We will show that this exact sequence doesn't split.

Suppose not. Then there exists $s\in \widetilde{M}_+$ such that $\sigma(s)=s$ and $\{u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1},s,\tau(s)\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\widetilde{M}_+$.

Write $s=\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}a_iu_i+b_0w_0+b_1w_1$ where $a_i,b_j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Since $\tau(s)=\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}a_i'u_i+b_1w_0+b_0w_1$ for some integers $a_i'\in\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $b_0^2-b_1^2=\pm1$ (remember that $\{u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1},s,\tau(s)\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\widetilde{M}_+$). It follows that the only solutions for the pair (b_0,b_1) are $(b_0,b_1)=(\pm1,0)$, $(0,\pm1)$.

We consider the situation $(b_0,b_1)=(1,0)$ (the other situations may be discussed similarly). Write $s=\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}a_iu_i+w_0$ as before. Since $\sigma(s)=s$, we find an identity of the ordered $(n-1)$-tuples : $(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1})=(0,a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-2})-a_{n-1}(1,1,\ldots,1)-\frac{n-1}{2}(1,1,\ldots,1)$. Solve $a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_{n-1}$ inductively in terms of a_{n-1}. We find $a_1=-(a_{n-1}+\frac{n-1}{2})$, $a_2=-2(a_{n-1}+\frac{n-1}{2})$, …, $a_{n-1}=-(n-1)(a_{n-1}+\frac{n-1}{2})$. Hence $na_{n-1}=-(n-1)^2/2$. But $\gcd\{n,n-1\}=1$. Thus we find a contradiction.

In conclusion, $0\to N_-\to\widetilde{M}_+\to\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]\to0$ doesn't split.

When $n=p$ is an odd prime number, we get a non-split extension $0\to P\to\widetilde{M}_+\to\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]\to0$. It is proved by Lee (see the last paragraph of [Le, page 221]) that the non-split extensions of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]$ by P give rise to precisely one indecomposable G-lattice, although $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle],P)\simeq\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by [Le, Lemma 2.1]. Since $0\to P\to Y_1\to\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\sigma\rangle]\to0$ is also a non-split extension, we conclude that $\widetilde{M}_+\simeq Y_1$.

Case 2. $\widetilde{M}_-$.

The proof is similar. We adopt the notations $x_0,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1}$ in the proof of Case 1. Write $\widetilde{M}_-=(\bigoplus_{0\le i\le n-1}\mathbb{Z}\cdot x_i)\oplus\mathbb{Z}\cdot w$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma: x_i\mapsto x_{i+1},\ w\mapsto w,\\ &\tau: x_i\mapsto -x_{n-i},\ w\mapsto w-\sum_{0\le i\le n-1}x_i\end{aligned}$$

where $0\le i\le n-1$ and the index is understood modulo n.

Define $u_0=x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}-x_{\frac{n+1}{2}}$, $t=x_{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, $u_i=\sigma^i(u_0)$ for $0\le i\le n-1$. Then $\{u_1,\ldots,u_{n-1},t,w\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\widetilde{M}_-$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma: &\ u_1\mapsto u_2\mapsto\cdots\mapsto u_{n-1}\mapsto-(u_1+\cdots+u_{n-1}),\\ &\ t\mapsto t+u_1+u_2+\cdots+u_{n-1},\ w\mapsto w,\\ \tau: &\ u_i\mapsto u_{n-i},\ t\mapsto -t-\left(\sum_{1\le i\le n-1}u_i\right),\\ &\ w\mapsto w-u_1-2u_2-\cdots-(n-1)u_{n-1}-nt.\end{aligned}$$

Define $w_0 = \frac{n-1}{2}t - w$, $w_1 = \frac{n+1}{2} - w$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma : w_0 \mapsto w_0 + \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i,\ w_1 \mapsto w_1 + \frac{n+1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i \le n-1} u_i, \\
&\tau : w_0 \mapsto w_1 - \tfrac{n-3}{2} u_1 - \tfrac{n-5}{2} u_2 - \cdots - u_{\frac{n-3}{2}} + u_{\frac{n+1}{2}} + 2u_{\frac{n+3}{2}} + \cdots + \tfrac{n-1}{2} u_{n-1}, \\
&\qquad w_1 \mapsto w_0 - \tfrac{n-1}{2} u_1 - \tfrac{n-3}{2} u_2 - \cdots - u_{\frac{n-1}{2}} + u_{\frac{n+3}{2}} + 2u_{\frac{n+5}{2}} + \cdots + \tfrac{n-3}{2} u_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining proof is similar and is omitted. ■

Lemma 4.6 *Let N_+, N_-, be G-lattices with $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer. Then there are non-split exact sequences of G-lattices $0 \to N_+ \oplus N_- \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \tau \rangle] \to 0$. When $n = p$ is an odd prime number, then $Y_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G]$.*

Proof. This lemma was proved by Lee for the case when $n = p$ is an odd prime number in (i) of Case 1 of [Le, pages 222–224]. There was also a remark in the first paragraph of [Le, page 229, Section 4].

Here is a proof when n is an odd integer. Once the first part is proved, we may deduce the second part when $n = p$ is an odd prime number because $N_+ \simeq R$, $N_- \simeq P$ (by Lemma 4.3) and there is a unique indecomposable G-lattice arising from non-split extensions of $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \tau \rangle]$ by $R \oplus P$ (see [Le, page 222]). Hence $\mathbb{Z}[G] \simeq Y_2$.

Now we start to prove the first part with $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where n is an odd integer.

From now on till the end of the proof, denote $\zeta = \zeta_n$ a primitive n-th root of unity, $R = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$, $R_0 = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta + \zeta^{-1}]$, $H = \langle \tau \rangle$.

Step 1. Let $\{\sigma^i, \sigma^i\tau : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$.

Let $\{t_0, t_1\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ with $\sigma(t_i) = t_i$, $\tau : t_0 \leftrightarrow t_1$.

Define a G-lattice surjection $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}[H]$ by $\varphi(\sigma^i) = t_0$, $\varphi(\sigma^i\tau) = t_1$. Define a G-lattice M by $M = \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$. We will prove that $M \simeq N_+ \oplus N_-$ (note that $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is indecomposable).

Define $u_i, v_i \in M$ as follows. Define $u_0 = \sigma^{(n-1)/2} - \sigma^{(n+1)/2}$, $v_0 = \sigma^{(n+1)/2}\tau - \sigma^{(n-1)/2}\tau$, and $u_i = \sigma^i(u_0)$, $v_i = \sigma^i(v_0)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$.

It follows that $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} u_i = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} v_i = 0$, and $\{u_i, v_i : 1 \le i \le n-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\sigma : u_i \mapsto u_{i+1}, v_i \mapsto v_{i+1}$, $\tau : u_i \mapsto v_{n-i}, v_i \mapsto u_{n-i}$ where the index is understood modulo n.

Step 2.

Define $x_i = u_i + v_i$, $y_i = u_{i-1} - v_{i+1}$ where $0 \le i \le n-1$. Clearly $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} x_i = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} y_i = 0$. We claim that $\{x_i, y_i : 1 \le i \le n-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M.

Assume the above claim. Define $M_1 = \oplus_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot x_i$, $M_2 = \oplus_{1 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot y_i$. It is easy to verify that $M_1 \simeq N_+$ and $M_2 \simeq N_-$. Hence the proof that $M \simeq N_+ \oplus N_-$ is finished.

Step 3.

We will prove that $\{x_i, y_i : 1 \le i \le n-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M.

Let Q be the coefficient matrix of $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}$ with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$. For the sake of visual convenience, we will consider the matrix P which is the transpose of Q. We will show that $\det(P) = 1$.

The matrix P is defined as

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
1 & & & & 1 & & & \\
 & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\
 & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & \\
 & & & 1 & & & & 1 \\
\hline
-1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & & \\
1 & & & 0 & \vdots & & \ddots & \\
 & \ddots & & \vdots & 0 & & & -1 \\
 & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right).$$

For examples, when $n = 3, 5$, it is of the form

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
-1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right),$$

$$P = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right).$$

In the case $n = 3, 5$, it is routine to show that $\det(P) = 1$. When $n \ge 7$, we will apply column operations on the matrix P and then expand the determinant along a row. Thus we are reduced to matrices of smaller size.

For a given matrix, we denote by (Ci) its i-th column. When we say that, apply $(Ci) + (C1)$ on the i-th column, we mean the column operation by adding the 1st column to the i-th column.

Step 4.

We will prove $\det(P) = 1$ where P is the $(2n-2) \times (2n-2)$ integral matrix defined in Step 3. Suppose $n \ge 7$.

Apply column operations on the matrix P. On the $(n+i)$-th column where $0 \leq i \leq n-2$, apply $C(n+i) - C(i+1)$.

Thus all the entries of the right upper part of the resulting matrix vanish. We get $\det(P) = \det(P_0)$ where P_0 is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ integral matrix defined as

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & & & & & \\ & -1 & 0 & -1 & & & & \\ & & -1 & 0 & -1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & 0 & -1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 5.

Apply column operations on P_0. On the 3rd column, apply $(C3) - (C1)$. Then, on the 4th column, apply $(C4) - (C2)$.

In the resulting matrix, each of the 2nd row and the 3rd row have only one non-zero entry.

Thus $\det(P_0) = \det(P_1)$ where P_1 is an $(n-3) \times (n-3)$ integral matrix defined as

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & & & & \\ & -1 & 0 & -1 & & & \\ & & -1 & 0 & -1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 6.

Apply column operations on P_1. On the 3rd column, apply $(C3) - (C1)$. On the 4th column, apply $(C4) - (C2)$.

Then expand the determinant along the 2nd row and the 3rd row. We get $\det(P_1) = \det(P_2)$ where P_2 is an $(n-5) \times (n-5)$ integral matrix defined as

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But the matrix P_2 looks the same as P_0 except the size. Done.

■

Lemma 4.7 *Let M_1 and M_2 be G-lattices belonging to the same genus. Then M_1 is flabby if and only if so is M_2.*

Proof. For any subgroup S of G, $H^{-1}(S, M_i)$ is a finite abelian group. If $H^{-1}(S, M_1) \neq 0$ for some subgroup S, then there is some prime number l such that $H^{-1}(S, \mathbb{Z}_l[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} M_1) \neq 0$ because localization commutes with taking Tate cohomology. Thus $H^{-1}(S, M_2) \neq 0$ because $\mathbb{Z}_l[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} M_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_l[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} M_2$.

We thank Kunyavskii for providing the following alternative proof. By Roiter's Theorem [CR, page 660], there is a rank-one projective module L over $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ such that $M_1 \oplus L \simeq M_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Hence the result. ■

Proposition 4.8 *Let the notations be the same as in Theorem 4.1. Then the indecomposable G-lattices which are flabby are*

$$\mathbb{Z},\ \mathbb{Z}[H],\ V_{\mathcal{A}},\ (Y_0)_{\mathcal{A}},\ (Y_1)_{\mathcal{A}},\ (Y_2)_{\mathcal{A}},$$

while the remaining ones are not flabby.

Proof. Remember that $V_{\mathcal{A}}$ and V belonging to the same genus (see Definition 4.2). Apply Lemma 4.7. It suffices to check whether the following ten lattices

$$\mathbb{Z},\ \mathbb{Z}[H],\ V,\ Y_0,\ Y_1,\ Y_2,\ \mathbb{Z}_-,\ R,\ P,\ X$$

are flabby lattices.

Since $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ are permutation lattices, they are flabby.

By Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, we find that $V \simeq M_+$, $Y_0 \simeq \widetilde{M_-}$, $Y_1 \simeq \widetilde{M_+}$, $Y_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G]$. M_+ and $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ are permutation lattices. Hence they are flabby. As to $\widetilde{M_-}$ and $\widetilde{M_+}$. Applying Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we find that they are stably permutation. Thus they are flabby also.

Now we turn to the non-flabby cases. Since $G = D_p$, a flabby lattice is necessarily an invertible lattice by Theorem 2.6; thus it is also coflabby. In summary, if we want to show that a G-lattice M is not flabby, we may show that it is not coflabby or it is not invertible.

For $\mathbb{Z}_-$, $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_-) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. For, write $\mathbb{Z}_- = \mathbb{Z} \cdot w$ with $\sigma(w) = w$, $\tau(w) = -w$. Apply the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence $0 \to H^1(\langle\tau\rangle, \mathbb{Z}_-^{\langle\sigma\rangle}) \to H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_-) \to H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, \mathbb{Z}_-)^{\langle\tau\rangle}$. Because $H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, \mathbb{Z}_-) = 0$ and $H^1(\langle\tau\rangle, \mathbb{Z}_-^{\langle\sigma\rangle}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we find that $H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}_-) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \neq 0$.

For R, if R is a flabby G-lattice, then it is invertible. Restricted to the subgroup $S = \langle\sigma\rangle$, R become an invertible S-lattice.

From the short exact sequence of S-lattices $0 \to R \to \mathbb{Z}[S] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ where ε is the augmentation map, since R is S-invertible and $\mathbb{Z}$ is S-permutation, it follows that the sequence splits [Len, Proposition 1.2]. Thus $\mathbb{Z}[S] \simeq R \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is not indecomposable as an S-lattice. This leads to a contradiction.

For P, if P is a flabby G-lattice, then it is invertible. From the short exact sequence of G-lattices $0 \to P \to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ where ε is the augmentation map, since P is G-invertible and $\mathbb{Z}$ is G-permutation, the sequence splits by [Len, Proposition 1.2]. Thus $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle]$ is a decomposable G-lattice. But $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle\tau\rangle] = \mathrm{Ind}_{\langle\tau\rangle}^{G} \mathbb{Z} = M_+$ by Definition 3.1. We find a contradiction again.

For X, we know that $X \simeq M_-$ by Lemma 4.3. Let $S = \langle\tau\rangle$. Regard M as an S-lattice. By Definition 3.1, as an S-lattice, $M_- \simeq N \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ where N is isomorphic to $\frac{p-1}{2}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}[S]$. Thus $H^1(S, M_-) = H^1(S, N \oplus \mathbb{Z}_-) \simeq H^1(S, \mathbb{Z}_-) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \neq 0$. Hence $X \simeq M_-$ is not coflabby. ■

Let K/k be a Galois extension with $\mathrm{Gal}(K/k) = D_p$ where p is an odd prime number. As pointed out in Section 2, if M is a D_p-lattice, then $K(M)^{D_p}$ is the function field of an algebraic torus defined over k, and splits over K such that the character module is isomorphic to M. In fact, it is not difficult to see that, if M and N are D_p-lattices with $[M]^{fl} = [N]^{fl}$, then $K(M)^{D_p}$ and $K(N)^{D_p}$ are stably isomorphic, i.e. there exist algebraically independent elements $X_1, \ldots, X_m$ over $K(M)^{D_p}$ and algebraically independent elements $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ over $K(N)^{D_p}$ such that $K(M)^{D_p}(X_1, \ldots, X_m) \simeq K(N)^{D_p}(Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$ over k (use [Sw2, Lemma 8.8] and Proposition 6.3). In short, the birational classification of algebraic tori split by a Galois extension with group D_p up to stable isomorphism is classified by the abelian monoid F_{D_p} (see Section 2). For details, see the paper of Endo and Miyata [EM2]; also see the papers [Sw2] and [EK].

§5. The relation modules

Recall the relation module R^{ab} in Section 1.

Lemma 5.1 *Let G be a finite group, $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation of G where F is a free group of finite rank. Then R is a free group of finite rank and R^{ab} is a faithful G-lattice, i.e. if $g \in G$ and $g \cdot x = x$ for any $x \in R^{ab}$, then $g = 1$.*

Proof. R is a free group of finite rank by the Nielsen-Schreier Theorem [Kur, page 36]; later we will exhibit a free generating set of R as indicated in [Ma, Theorem 8.1]. Since R is free, R^{ab} is a free abelian group of finite rank. Thus R^{ab} is a G-lattice. It is a faithful G-lattice by [Pa]; the faithfulness can be proved by using a theorem of Gaschütz (see [Gr1, page 8]). ■

Lemma 5.2 *Let G be a finite group, $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation of G where F is a free group of rank d. Let $I_G := \mathrm{Ker}\{\mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ where $\varepsilon(\sum_{g\in G} n_g \cdot g) = \sum_{g\in G} n_g$.*

(1) *There is a short exact sequence of* G*-lattices* $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$.

(2) *Write* $I_G^{\otimes 2} := I_G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} I_G$. *Then* $R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(|G|-1)} \simeq I_G^{\otimes 2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)}$.

Proof. (1) By [HS, page 199, Corollary 6.4], we have a short exact sequence $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F]} I_F \to I_G \to 0$. Since I_F is a free $\mathbb{Z}[F]$-module of rank d by [HS, page 196, Theorem 5.5], we find that $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[F]} I_F \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)}$.

(2) Tensor $0 \to I_G \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$ with I_G over $\mathbb{Z}$. We get $0 \to I_G^{\otimes 2} \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} I_G \to I_G \to 0$. For a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module M, write M_0 the underlying abelian group of M; thus M_0 becomes a trivial $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module. By [HS, page 212, Lemma 11.7], the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} I_G$ and $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (I_G)_0$ are isomorphic. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} I_G \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(|G|-1)}$.

In summary, we have two short exact sequences $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$ and $0 \to I_G^{\otimes 2} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(|G|-1)} \to I_G \to 0$. Apply Schanuel's Lemma. Done. ■

Remark. From Part (1) of the above lemma, we may determine the rank of the free abelian group R^{ab}. This is also the rank of R as a free group; thus we find a proof of Schreier's Theorem [Kur, page 36] by homological algebra.

Lemma 5.3 *Let* G *be a finite group,* $1 \to R_1 \to F_1 \to G \to 1$ *and* $1 \to R_2 \to F_2 \to G \to 1$ *be two free presentations of* G *where* F_i *is a free group of rank* d_i *for* $i = 1, 2$. *Then* $R_1^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d_2)} \simeq R_2^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d_1)}$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2 we have exact sequences of G-lattices $0 \to R_i^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d_i)} \to I_G \to 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Apply Schanuel's Lemma. ■

Lemma 5.4 *Let* G *be a finite group,* $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ *be a free presentation of* G *where* F *is a free group with finite rank. Then* R^{ab} *is a coflabby* G*-lattice.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $H^1(S, R^{ab}) = 0$ for any subgroup S of G. From the exact sequences $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$ of Lemma 5.2 and $0 \to I_G \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, we find that $H^1(S, R^{ab}) \simeq \widehat{H}^0(S, I_G) \simeq H^{-1}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, which is the trivial group. ■

Lemma 5.5 *Let* $G = D_n$ *be the dihedral group of order* $2n$ *where* $n \geq 2$. *Let* $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ *be a free presentation where* F *is a free group of finite rank.*

(1) *If* n *is odd, then* R^{ab} *is an invertible lattice.*

(2) *If* n *is even, then* R^{ab} *is coflabby, but is not flabby.*

Proof. (1) Suppose n is odd. Then all the p-Sylow subgroups of D_n are cyclic groups. Hence we may apply Theorem 2.6. Thus $[I_G^0]^{fl}$ is invertible.

On the other hand, from Lemma 5.2, we get $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$. Taking the dual lattices, we get $0 \to I_G^0 \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to (R^{ab})^0 \to 0$. By Lemma 5.4, R^{ab} is coflabby. Hence $(R^{ab})^0$ is flabby. Thus $0 \to I_G^0 \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to (R^{ab})^0 \to 0$ is a flabby resolution of I_G^0, i.e. $[I_G^0]^{fl} = [(R^{ab})^0]$.

Since $[I_G^0]^{fl}$ is invertible, it follows that $[(R^{ab})^0]$ is invertible. Hence there is some permutation G-lattice P such that $(R^{ab})^0 \oplus P$ is an invertible lattice. Thus $(R^{ab})^0 \oplus P \oplus M = Q$ for some G-lattice M and some permutation G-lattice Q. Taking dual, we find that R^{ab} is invertible.

(2) By Lemma 5.4, R^{ab} is coflabby. We will show that it is not flabby. Since n is even, the subgroup $S = \langle \tau, \sigma^{n/2} \rangle$ exists and is isomorphic to $C_2 \times C_2$. We will show that $H^{-1}(S, R^{ab}) \neq 0$.

From the exact sequences $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$ and $0 \to I_G \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0$, we find that $H^{-1}(S, R^{ab}) \simeq H^{-2}(S, I_G) \simeq H^{-3}(S, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by [HS, page 223, Theorem 222], because $H_1(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $H_2(C_2, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. ■

Theorem 5.6 *Let G be any finite group, $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation where F is a free group of finite rank. Then R^{ab} is a stably permutation G-lattice (i.e. there are permutation G-lattices P_1 and P_2 such that $R^{ab} \oplus P_1 \simeq P_2$) if and only if G is isomorphic to the cyclic group C_n where n is any positive integer, or the group $\langle \rho, \sigma, \tau : \rho^m = \sigma^n = \tau^{2^d} = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}, \rho\sigma = \sigma\rho, \rho\tau = \tau\rho \rangle$ where m and n are odd integers, $n \geq 3$, $d \geq 1$ and $\gcd\{m, n\} = 1$.*

Remark. In Theorem 5.8 where $G = D_n$ (n is odd) and the free presentation is that in Definition 1.1, we will construct explicitly the permutation lattices P_1 and P_2.

Proof. Let d be the rank of the free group F. By Lemma 5.2, we have an exact sequence $0 \to R^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to I_G \to 0$. Taking the dual of this exact sequence, we $0 \to (I_G)^0 \to \mathbb{Z}[G]^{(d)} \to (R^{ab})^0 \to 0$.

By Lemma 5.4, $(R^{ab})^0$ is flabby. Thus the above exact sequence is a flabby resolution of $(I_G)^0$, i.e. $[(I_G)^0]^{fl} = [(R^{ab})^0]$.

On the other hand, $[(R^{ab})^0] = 0$ if and only if there are permutation lattices Q_1 and Q_2 such that $(R^{ab})^0 \oplus Q_1 \simeq Q_2$. After taking the dual lattices, this is equivalent to that R^{ab} is stably permutation.

Apply Theorem 2.7. Done.

■

Now we will turn to finding the free generators of R in a free presentation $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} G \to 1$ where G is a finite group and F is a free group of rank d.

It is known that R is a free group of rank $1 + (d-1) \cdot |G|$ by Schreier's Theorem [Kur, page 36]. Let $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_d$ be the free generators of F. Then the free generators of R can be found as follows (see [Ma, pages 205–207]).

We choose a Schreier system Σ. Σ is a subset of F such that $1 \in \Sigma$, $|\Sigma \cap Rx| = 1$ for any $x \in F$, and some other properties are satisfied (see [Ma, page 205]).

Define a function $\Phi : F \to \Sigma$ such that $\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(\Phi(x))$ for any $x \in F$.

Then the free generators of R can be chosen as $us_i\Phi(us_i)^{-1}$ where $u \in \Sigma$, $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $us_i\Phi(us_i)^{-1} \neq 1$ (see [Ma, p.206, Theorem 8.1]).

In Definition 1.1 we consider a particular free presentation $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ where $D_n = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$, $F = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$ is the free group of rank two, and $\varepsilon(s_1) = \sigma$, $\varepsilon(s_2) = \tau$. It is routine to verify that $\Sigma = \{s_1^i s_2^j : 0 \le i \le n-1, 0 \le j \le 1\}$ is a Schreier system of this free presentation. Hence the free generators of R can be chosen as

$$(1) \qquad s_1^n,\ s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-(n-1)},\ s_1^i s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-(i-1)}\ (1 \le i \le n-1),\ s_1^i s_2^2 s_1^{-i}\ (0 \le i \le n-1).$$

We replace the generator $s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-(n-1)}$ in (1) by $s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-(n-1)} \cdot s_1^n = s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1$. Hence we get the generators a, b_i, c_i $(0 \le i \le n-1)$ of R where

$$(2) \qquad a = s_1^n,\ b_i = s_1^i s_2 s_1 s_2^{-1} s_1^{-(i-1)},\ c_i = s_1^i s_2^2 s_1^{-i}.$$

For any $x \in F$, x acts on R by $x \cdot a \cdot x^{-1}$, $x \cdot b_i \cdot x^{-1}$, $x \cdot c_i \cdot x^{-1}$. It is not difficult to verify that s_1 and s_2 act on these generators by

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \qquad & s_1 : a \mapsto a,\ b_i \mapsto b_{i+1} \text{ if } 0 \le i \le n-2,\ b_{n-1} \mapsto a b_0 a^{-1}, \\
& \quad c_i \mapsto c_{i+1} \text{ if } 0 \le i \le n-2,\ c_{n-1} \mapsto a c_0 a^{-1}, \\
(4) \qquad & s_2 : a \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_1,\ b_0 \mapsto c_0 c_1^{-1} b_1,\ b_1 \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} c_{n-1} a c_0^{-1}, \\
& \quad b_i \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{n-i+1} c_{n-i} c_{n-i+1}^{-1} b_{n-i+2}^{-1} b_{n-i+3}^{-1} \cdots b_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1} \\
& \quad (\text{where } 2 \le i \le n-1), \\
& \quad c_0 \mapsto c_0,\ c_1 \mapsto (b_0 a^{-1}) c_{n-1} (b_0 a^{-1})^{-1}, \\
& \quad c_i \mapsto (b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{n-i+1}) c_{n-i} (b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{n-i+1})^{-1} \\
& \quad (\text{where } 2 \le i \le n-1).
\end{aligned}$$

More explicitly, if n is odd, the action of s_2 on $b_2, b_3, \ldots, b_{n-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_2 \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} c_{n-2} c_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1}, \\
& \vdots \\
& b_{\frac{n-1}{2}} \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{\frac{n+3}{2}} c_{\frac{n+1}{2}} c_{\frac{n+3}{2}}^{-1} b_{\frac{n+5}{2}}^{-1} \cdots b_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1}, \\
& b_{\frac{n+1}{2}} \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{\frac{n+1}{2}} c_{\frac{n-1}{2}} c_{\frac{n+1}{2}}^{-1} b_{\frac{n+3}{2}}^{-1} \cdots b_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1}, \\
& b_{\frac{n+3}{2}} \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_{\frac{n-1}{2}} c_{\frac{n-3}{2}} c_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-1} b_{\frac{n+1}{2}}^{-1} \cdots b_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1}, \\
& \vdots \\
& b_{n-1} \mapsto b_0 a^{-1} b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \cdots b_2 c_1 c_2^{-1} b_3^{-1} \cdots b_{n-1}^{-1} a b_0^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.7 *Let* $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ *be the free presentation of* $D_n = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ *in Definition 1.1. Then* R^{ab} *is a* D_n*-lattice with* $R^{ab} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{a} \oplus (\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{b}_i) \oplus (\bigoplus_{0 \le i \le n-1} \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{c}_i)$ *and the actions of* σ *and* τ *on* R^{ab} *are given* *by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma : \bar{a} \mapsto \bar{a},\ \bar{b}_0 \mapsto \bar{b}_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \bar{b}_{n-1} \mapsto \bar{b}_0,\ \bar{c}_0 \mapsto \bar{c}_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto \bar{c}_{n-1} \mapsto c_0,\\
&\tau : \bar{a} \mapsto -\bar{a} + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} \bar{b}_i,\ \bar{b}_0 \mapsto \bar{b}_1 + \bar{c}_0 - \bar{c}_1,\ \bar{b}_1 \mapsto \bar{b}_0 + \bar{c}_{n-1} - \bar{c}_0,\\
&\qquad \bar{b}_i \mapsto \bar{b}_{n-i+1} + \bar{c}_{n-i} - \bar{c}_{n-i+1} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n-1,\\
&\qquad \bar{c}_0 \mapsto \bar{c}_0,\ \bar{c}_i \mapsto \bar{c}_{n-i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\bar{a}$, $\bar{b}_i$, $\bar{c}_i$ be the images of a, b_i, c_i in the canonical projection $R \to R^{ab} = R/[R,R]$ where a, b_i, c_i are defined in (2). The actions of σ and τ on R^{ab} follow from Formulae (3) and (4). ■

Theorem 5.8 *Let R^{ab} be the relation module of the free presentation of D_n in Definition 1.1. If n is an odd integer ≥ 3, then $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+$ where M_+ and $\widetilde{M}_+$ are the D_n-lattices in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.3. Thus $R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]^{(2)}$.*

Proof. Once we prove $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+$, then identity $R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]^{(2)}$ follows from Theorem 3.4 because $M_+ = \operatorname{Ind}_{\langle\tau\rangle}^{D_n} \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]$ by definition.

It remains to prove the identity $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+$.

Step 1. Recall the $n \times n$ matrices A and B in Definition 3.1. Define I_n to be the identity matrix of size n, and define $\mathbb{1}_n$ to be the $n \times 1$ matrix all of whose entries are equal to 1. Define C to be the $n \times n$ matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 & -1 \\ & & \iddots & -1 & 0 \\ & 1 & \iddots & & \vdots \\ 1 & -1 & & & 0 \\ -1 & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}).$$

For examples, when $n = 5$, C is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 2. Write the actions of σ and τ on R^{ab} with respect to the ordered basis $\bar{b}_1, \ldots, \bar{b}_{n-1}, \bar{b}_0, \bar{c}_1, \ldots, \bar{c}_{n-1}, \bar{c}_0, \bar{a}$. It is easy to verify that

$$\sigma \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right) \in M_{2n+1}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \tau \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} AB & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ C & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right) \in M_{2n+1}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

It is easy to check that $C = B - AB$.

We will change the basis of R^{ab} in the following step so that the actions of σ and τ correspond to the matrices

$$\left(\begin{array}{c:cc} A & & \\ \hdashline & A & 0 \\ & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\begin{array}{c:cc} B & & \\ \hdashline & B & \mathbb{1}_n \\ & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Compare with the matrix forms of M_+ and $\widetilde{M_+}$ in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.3. We find that $R^{ab} \simeq M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M_+}$.

Step 3. Remember $A^n = B^2 = (AB)^2 = I_n$. Thus $BA = A^{-1}B$ and $A^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} = A^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$. Define

$$P_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} I_n & 0 & \\ I_n - A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & I_n & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then we find

$$P_1 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_1^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_1 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} AB & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ C & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_1^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} AB & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ 0 & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Define

$$P_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A^{\frac{n-1}{2}} & 0 & \\ 0 & I_n & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then we find

$$P_2 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_2^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_2 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} AB & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ 0 & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_2^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} B & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ 0 & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Define

$$P_3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} 0 & I_n & \\ I_n & 0 & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

We finally get

$$P_3 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_3^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} A & 0 & \\ 0 & A & \\ \hdashline & & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

$$P_3 \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} B & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ 0 & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right) \cdot P_3^{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} B & 0 & \\ 0 & B & \mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right). \qquad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 5.9 *Let R^{ab} be the relation module of the free presentation of D_n in Definition 1.1. If $n = 2^t$ where t is any positive integer, then R^{ab} is an indecomposable D_n-lattice of rank $2n+1$.*

Proof. Write $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for the ring of 2-adic integers. Write $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[D_n]$, $M = R^{ab}$. Let $\hat{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$, $\hat{M} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M$. By [CR, page 36, Theorem 2.38], $\mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{End}_{\Lambda}(M) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\hat{M})$. Define $E = \mathrm{End}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\hat{M})$.

We will show that $E/\mathrm{rad}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ where $\mathrm{rad}(E)$ is the Jacobson radical of the ring E. Then $\hat{M}$ is indecomposable by [CR, pages 633-634, Theorem 30.27] (in fact, $\hat{M}$ is absolutely indecomposable). Consequently M is also indecomposable.

Step 1. By Lemma 5.7, $\hat{M}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module with basis $\bar{a}, \bar{b}_i, \bar{c}_i$ where $0 \le i \le n-1$. If $f \in E = \mathrm{End}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\hat{M})$, we will like to see what f looks like in term of these basis elements.

Recall the matrices A, I_n and $\mathbb{1}_n$ in the proof of Thoerem 5.8. Define J_n to be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}$, each of whose entries is 1. Note that $J_n = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} A^i$ and $A^n = I_n$.

Given elements $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, define $p(A) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i A^i$ and $p(A^{-1}) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i A^{-i}$.

Similarly, if $q_0, \ldots, q_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, define $q(A) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} q_i A^i$; $q(A^{-1})$ is obtained form $q(A)$ by replacing A by A^{-1}. We may define $r(A), s(A)$ by the same way, once $r_0, \ldots, r_{n-1}, s_0, \ldots, s_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ are given.

Step 2. Note that $\sigma \cdot \bar{a} = \bar{a}$ by Lemma 5.7. If $f \in E = \mathrm{End}_{\hat{\Lambda}}(\hat{M})$, we find $f(\bar{a}) = \sigma \cdot f(\bar{a})$. Thus $f(\bar{a}) = \alpha \cdot \bar{a} + \beta \cdot \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} \bar{b}_i + \gamma \cdot \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} \bar{c}_i$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Suppose that $f(\bar{b}_0) = \delta \cdot \bar{a} + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i \cdot \bar{b}_i + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} q_i \cdot \bar{c}_i$ and $f(\bar{c}_0) = \varepsilon \cdot \bar{a} + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} r_i \cdot \bar{b}_i + \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} s_i \cdot \bar{c}_i$ for some $\delta, \varepsilon, p_i, q_i, r_i, s_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Lemma 5.7 again, $\bar{b}_i = \sigma^i \cdot \bar{b}_0$ and $\bar{c}_i = \sigma^i \cdot \bar{c}_0$. Thus $f(\bar{b}_i) = \sigma^i \cdot f(\bar{b}_0)$ and $f(\bar{c}_i) = \sigma^i \cdot f(\bar{c}_0)$.

Thus f may be presented as F, an $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with respect to the ordered basis $\bar{b}_1, \ldots, \bar{b}_{n-1}, \bar{b}_0, \bar{c}_1, \ldots, \bar{c}_{n-1}, \bar{c}_0, \bar{a}$,

$$F = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A) & r(A) & \beta \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ q(A) & s(A) & \gamma \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline \delta \cdot {}^t\mathbb{1}_n & \varepsilon \cdot {}^t\mathbb{1}_n & \alpha \end{array}\right). \tag{5}$$

where ${}^t\mathbb{1}_n$ is the transposed matrix of $\mathbb{1}_n$.

Because $f(\tau \cdot u) = \tau \cdot f(u)$ for any $u \in \hat{M}$, the matrix F will satisfy additional conditions. In Steps 3-5, we will show that $\delta = \varepsilon = 0$ and

$$r(A) = r'(A) + xJ_n, \quad s(A) = p(A^{-1}) + s'(A) + yJ_n \tag{6}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $r'(A)$ and $s'(A)$ will be defined as follows.

If $n = 2$, define $r'(A) = 0$ and $s'(A) = q(A)$.

If $n \geq 4$, define

$$r'(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p_i \cdot (A + A^{-i+1})(1 + A + \cdots + A^{i-1}) \tag{7}$$

and

$$s'(A) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i \cdot (A^{-i} + A^{-(i-1)} + \cdots + A^{i-1} + A^{i}). \tag{8}$$

Step 3. Recall the action of τ on M. From Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 5.8, the action of τ corresponds to the following matrix T

$$T = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} AB & 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ B - AB & B & \\ \hdashline & & -1 \end{array}\right).$$

Since $f(\tau \cdot \bar{b}_i) = \tau \cdot f(\bar{b}_i)$, $f(\tau \cdot \bar{c}_i) = \tau \cdot f(\bar{c}_i)$ and $f(\tau \cdot \bar{a}) = \tau \cdot f(\bar{a})$, it follows that $FT = TF$. In computing the entries of FT and TF, we use the relation $A \cdot B \cdot p(A) = A \cdot p(A^{-1}) \cdot B$, because $B \cdot A \cdot B^{-1} = A^{-1}$ (see Definition 3.1).

Compare the entries of FT and TF. We find that

$$\delta = \varepsilon = 0, \quad \alpha + 2\beta = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} p_i, \quad 2\gamma = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i, \tag{9}$$

$$p(A) \cdot A + r(A) \cdot (1 - A) = A \cdot p(A^{-1}), \tag{10}$$

$$r(A) = A \cdot r(A^{-1}), \tag{11}$$

$$q(A) \cdot A + s(A) \cdot (1 - A) = (1 - A) \cdot p(A^{-1}) + q(A^{-1}), \tag{12}$$

$$s(A) = (1 - A) \cdot r(A^{-1}) + s(A^{-1}). \tag{13}$$

In Step 4, we will solve (10) and (11) to obtain the formulae (7) and (6), and solve (12) and (13) to obtain the formulae (8) and (6).

Step 4. The idea of solving (10) and (11) is similar to that of solving differential equations: We find a particular solution $r'(A)$ and then find the general solution $r(A)$.

From the formula (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} r(A) \cdot (1 - A) &= A \cdot p(A^{-1}) - A \cdot p(A) = A \cdot \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p_i(A^{-i} - A^{i}) \\ &= (1 - A) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p_i(A + A^{-i+1})(1 + A + \cdots + A^{i-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

When $n = 2$, define $r'(A) = 0$. When $n \geq 4$, define

$$r'(A) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} p_i \cdot (A + A^{-i+1})(1 + A + \cdots + A^{i-1}).$$

When $n = 2$, $r'(A)$ is a solution of (10) when we substitute $r(A)$ by $r'(A)$, because $A = A^{-1}$. When $n \geq 4$, $r'(A)$ is also a solution of (10) by the formula (14).

It is easy to show that $r'(A)$ is a solution of the formula (11), i.e. $r'(A) = A \cdot r'(A^{-1})$.

Now we will find a general solution of (10) and (11). Suppose that $r(A)$ satisfies (10) and (11). Define $r''(A) = r(A) - r'(A) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[A]$. Then we find that $r''(A) \cdot (1 - A) = 0$ and $r''(A) = A \cdot r''(A^{-1})$.

From $r''(A) \cdot (1 - A) = 0$, we find that all the columns of $r''(A)$ are the same (when we regard $r''(A)$ as an $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_2$). On the other hand, by the definitions of $r(A)$ and $r'(A)$, it is easy to see that $A \cdot r(A) \cdot A^{-1} = r(A)$ and $A \cdot r'(A) \cdot A^{-1} = r'(A)$. Thus $A \cdot r''(A) \cdot A^{-1} = r''(A)$. This implies that the entries of the first column of $r''(A)$ (and thus the entries of all the columns of $r''(A)$) are the same. It follows that $r''(A) = xJ_n$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Since $J_n = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} A^i$, $r''(A) = xJ_n$ clearly satisfies the formula $r''(A) = A \cdot r''(A^{-1})$.

Step 5. We will solve $s(A)$ for the formulae (12) and (13) after $r(A)$ is solved and is fixed.

When $n = 2$, it is not difficult to show that $s(A) = p(A) + q(A) + y \cdot J_2$ for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

From now on, we assume that $n \geq 4$.

We first rewrite (10) as

$$p(A^{-1}) \cdot A^{-1} + r(A^{-1})(1 - A^{-1}) = A^{-1} \cdot p(A).$$

Multiply both sides by A. We find that

$$p(A^{-1}) - p(A) = (1 - A) \cdot r(A^{-1}). \tag{15}$$

Suppose that $q(A) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i A^i$ where $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $q(A^{-1}) - q(A) \cdot A = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i A^{-i} - \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i A^{i+1} = (1 - A) \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i (A^{-i} + A^{-(i-1)} + \cdots + A^{i-1} + A^i)$.

In order to solve the formula (12), define

$$s'(A) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q_i \cdot (A^{-i} + A^{-(i-1)} + \cdots + A^{i-1} + A^i).$$

Clearly, $p(A^{-1}) + s'(A)$ is a solution of $s(A)$ for the formula (12). It is also a solution for the formula (13), because $s'(A) - s'(A^{-1}) = 0$ while $p(A^{-1}) - p(A) = (1 - A) \cdot r(A^{-1})$ by the formula (15).

As in Step 4, define $s''(A) = s(A) - p(A^{-1}) - s'(A)$. We get $s''(A) \cdot (1 - A) = 0$ and $s''(A) = s''(A^{-1})$.

The proof is almost the same as in step 4. We first show that $s''(A) = y \cdot J_n$ for some $y \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $s''(A)$ satisfies $s''(A) = s''(A^{-1})$.

Step 6. From the above discussion, we conclude that, if $f \in E$, then f corresponds to the following matrix

$$F = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A) & r(A) & \beta \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ q(A) & s(A) & \gamma \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right), \tag{16}$$

where $r(A)$ and $s(A)$ are defined by the formulae (6), (7), (8). Note that the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x, y, p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ are determined by f.

Define a ring homomorphism $\varphi : E \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ by $\varphi(f) = \bar{\alpha} \pmod{2\mathbb{Z}_2}$. Define $I = \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$. Then $E/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ may be regarded as an E-module through φ. It is a simple E-module with $I = \operatorname{ann}_E(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$, the annihilator of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ in E. Write $\operatorname{rad}(E)$ for the Jacobson radical of E. By [CR, page 104] $\operatorname{rad}(E) = \bigcap_X \operatorname{ann}_E(X)$ where X runs over all the simple E-modules. Thus $I \supset \operatorname{rad}(E)$.

We will show that $I \subset \operatorname{rad}(E)$ in Step 8. Hence $I = \operatorname{rad}(E)$ and $E/\operatorname{rad}(E) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as we expect at the beginning. Thus $\hat{M}$ is indecomposable.

Step 7. Write $p(A), q(A), r(A), s(A)$ in the formula (16) as the "standard" forms, i.e. $r(A) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} r_i A^i$ where $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ (we will not use formulae (6), (7), (8) at present).

We claim that, if $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$, then all of $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i, \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} q_i, \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} r_i, \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} s_i$ belong to $2\mathbb{Z}_2$.

This is clear for $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i$ and $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} q_i$ by the formula (9).

As to $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} r_i$ and $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} s_i$, consider the ring homomorphism $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_2[A] \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ defined by $\pi(A) = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Obviously, $\pi\big(r(A)\big) = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} r_i$. On the other hand, apply formulae (6) and (7). We find that $\pi\big(r(A)\big) = \pi\big(r'(A) + xJ_n\big) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ because $n = 2^t$. Similarly, $\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} s_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Assume $\alpha \in 2\mathbb{Z}_2$ again. In the ring $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[A]$, $p(A)^{2^t} = \big(\sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i A^i\big)^{2^t} = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i A^{i \cdot 2^t} = \sum_{0 \le i \le n-1} p_i I_n = 0$ because $n = 2^t$ and $A^n = I_n$. Similarly, $q(A)^{2^t} = r(A)^{2^t} = s(A)^{2^t} = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[A]$.

Step 8. We will show that, if $f \in I$ (recall that $I = \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is defined in Step 6), then $f^{4 \cdot 2^t} \in 2E$.

In the $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, if $f \in I$, use the standard form of f in the formula (16). We find

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A) & r(A) & \beta \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ q(A) & s(A) & \gamma \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A)^2 + q(A)r(A) & p(A)r(A) + r(A)s(A) & (\beta\bar{p} + \gamma\bar{r}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ p(A)q(A) + q(A)s(A) & s(A)^2 + q(A)r(A) & (\beta\bar{q} + \gamma\bar{s}) \cdot \mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & \alpha^2 \end{array}\right),$$

where $\bar{p} = \sum_{0\le i\le n-1} p_i, \bar{q} = \sum_{0\le i\le n-1} q_i, \bar{r} = \sum_{0\le i\le n-1} r_i, \bar{s} = \sum_{0\le i\le n-1} s_i$. Thus

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A) & r(A) & \beta\cdot\mathbb{1}_n \\ q(A) & s(A) & \gamma\cdot\mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A)^2+q(A)\cdot r(A) & r(A)\cdot\big(p(A)+s(A)\big) & 0 \\ q(A)\cdot\big(p(A)+s(A)\big) & s(A)^2+q(A)\cdot r(A) & 0 \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

Since $p(A)^{2^t} = q(A)^{2^t} = r(A)^{2^t} = s(A)^{2^t} = 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[A]$, we find that

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc:c} p(A) & r(A) & \beta\cdot\mathbb{1}_n \\ q(A) & s(A) & \gamma\cdot\mathbb{1}_n \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{array}\right)^{4\cdot 2^t} = \left(\begin{array}{cc:c} a & b & 0 \\ c & d & 0 \\ \hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

where a, b, c, d are “homogeneous polynomials” in $p(A), q(A), r(A), s(A)$ with degree $4\cdot 2^t$. Thus each term of a, b, c, d should be a multiple of one of $p(A)^{2^t}, q(A)^{2^t}, r(A)^{2^t}, s(A)^{2^t}$. Thus, each term of a, b, c, d becomes zero in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[A]$.

We conclude that, if $f \in I$, then $f^{4\cdot 2^t} \in 2E$.

Now it is easy to see that $I \subset \operatorname{rad}(E)$.

Since E is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-algebra, it follows that the two-sided ideal $2E$ is contained in $\operatorname{rad}(E)$ by [CR, page 112]. Let $\psi : E \to E/2E$ be the canonical ring homomorphism. Note that $\operatorname{rad}\big(E/2E\big) = \operatorname{rad}(E)/2E$. If $\psi(I) \subset \operatorname{rad}\big(E/2E\big)$, then $I + 2E/2E = \psi(I) \subset \operatorname{rad}(E)/2E$. Hence $I \subset \operatorname{rad}(E)$.

Note that we have proved that, if $f \in I$, then $\psi(f)$ is nilpotent in $E/2E$. Hence $1 - \psi(f)$ is invertible. By [CR, page 106] we find that $\psi(I) \subset \operatorname{rad}\big(E/2E\big)$. ■

§6. Rationality problems

Let $k \subset L$ be a field extension. Recall the definition that L is k-rational (resp. stably k-rational, retract k-rational) in Definition 2.4

Definition 6.1 Let G be any finite group, k be any field. Let $k(x_g : g \in G)$ be the rational function field in $|G|$ variables over k with a G-action via k-automorphism defined by $h \cdot x_g = x_{hg}$ for any $h, g \in G$. Define $k(G) := k(x_g : g \in G)^G$ the fixed field. Noether’s problem asks whether $k(G)$ is k-rational [Sw1].

The following two results are useful in the investigation of Noether’s problem and related rationality problems.

Theorem 6.2 ([CHK, Theorem 2.1]) *Let L be a field and G be a finite group acting on $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$, the rational function field of m variables over L. Suppose that*

(i) *for any $\sigma \in G$, $\sigma(L) \subset L$;*

(ii) *the restriction of the action of G to L is faithful;*

(iii) *for any* $\sigma \in G$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(x_m) \end{pmatrix} = A(\sigma) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_m \end{pmatrix} + B(\sigma)$$

where $A(\sigma) \in GL_m(L)$ *and* $B(\sigma)$ *is an* $m \times 1$ *matrix over* L. *Then* $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = L(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ *where* $\sigma(z_i) = z_i$ *for any* $\sigma \in G$, *any* $1 \le i \le m$. *In particular,* $L(x_1, \ldots, x_m)^G = L^G(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$.

Proposition 6.3 *Let* G *be a finite group,* M *be a* G*-lattice. Let* k'/k *be a finite Galois extension such that there is a surjection* $G \to \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$. *Suppose that there is an exact sequence of* G*-lattices* $0 \to M_0 \to M \to Q \to 0$ *where* Q *is a permutation* G*-lattice. If* G *is faithful on the field* $k'(M_0)$, *then* $k'(M) = k'(M_0)(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ *for some elements* $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ *satisfying* $m = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} Q$, $\sigma(x_j) = x_j$ *for any* $\sigma \in G$, *any* $1 \le j \le m$.

Proof. Note that the action of G on $k'(M)$ is the purely quasi-monomial action in Definition 2.1.

Write $M_0 = \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_i$, $Q = \bigoplus_{1 \le j \le m} \mathbb{Z} \cdot v_j$. Choose elements $w_1, \ldots, w_m \in M$ such that w_j is a preimage of v_j for $1 \le j \le m$. It follows that $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M.

For each $\sigma \in G$, since Q is permutation, $\sigma(w_j) - w_l \in M_0$ for some w_l (depending on j). In the field $k'(M)$, if we write $k'(M) = k(u_1, \ldots, u_n, w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ as the rational function field in $m+n$ variables over k', then $\sigma(w_j) = \alpha_j(\sigma) w_l$ for some $\alpha_j(\sigma) \in k'(M_0)$.

Since G is faithful on $k'(M_0)$, apply Theorem 6.2. ■

Theorem 6.4 *Let* $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ *be the free presentation of* D_n *in Definition 1.1. Let* k'/k *be a finite Galois extension such that there is a surjection* $D_n \to \mathrm{Gal}(k'/k)$. *Assume that* n *is an odd integer* ≥ 3. *Then* $k'(R^{ab})^{D_n} \simeq k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$ *where* t *is an element transcendental over* $k(D_n)$. *Consequently, if* $k(D_n)$ *is rational over* k *(e.g.* $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} \in k$ *where* ζ_n *is a primitive* n*-th root of unity), then* $k(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ *is rational over* k. *On the other hand, if* K/k *is a Galois extension with* $D_n \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$, *then* $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ *is rational over* k.

Proof. Step 1. First of all, we explain how the last two assertions follows from $k'(R^{ab})^{D_n} \simeq k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$.

If $k = k'$, then $k(R^{ab})^{D_n} = k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t) = k(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$. Note that the fixed field $k(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}$ is nothing but $k(D_n)$ in Definition 6.1. It is known that, if $\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} \in k$, then $k(D_n)$ is k-rational (see [CHK, Proposition 2.6]). Hence the result.

If $k' = K$ and $D_n \simeq \mathrm{Gal}(K/k)$, then $K(R^{ab})^{D_n} = K(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$. Since $K(\mathbb{Z}[D_n]) = K(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n})$ by Proposition 6.3 where $g \cdot x_i = x_i$ for all $g \in D_n$ and $1 \le i \le 2n$. Thus $K(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n} = K^{D_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}) = k(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})$.

Step 2. In the sequel, we will show that $k'(R^{ab})^{D_n} = k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$.

By Theorem 5.8, $k'(R^{ab}) = k'(M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+)$. Since $\widetilde{M}_+$ is a faithful D_n-lattice, the action of D_n on $k'(\widetilde{M}_+)$ is faithful. By Proposition 6.3, $k'(M_+ \oplus \widetilde{M}_+)^{D_n} = k'(\widetilde{M}_+)^{D_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

By Proposition 6.3 again, $k'(\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z})^{D_n} = k'(\widetilde{M}_+)^{D_n}(t)$. Hence $k'(R^{ab})^{D_n} = k'(\widetilde{M}_+)^{D_n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \simeq k'(\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z})^{D_n}(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$.

From Theorem 3.4, $\widetilde{M}_+ \oplus \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\sigma\rangle] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle]$ is a faithful D_n-lattice. Hence $k'(\widetilde{M}\oplus\mathbb{Z})^{D_n}(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) = k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\sigma\rangle]\oplus\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])^{D_n}(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) = k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])^{D_n}(t_1, t_2, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1})$.

Step 3. Consider $k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}$. Note that $k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n]) = k'(x(\sigma^i), x(\sigma^i\tau) : 0 \le i \le n-1)$ where $g \cdot x(\sigma^i) = x(g\sigma^i)$, $g \cdot x(\sigma^i\tau) = x(g\sigma^i\tau)$ for any $g \in D_n$.

Define $z_i = x(\sigma^i) + x(\sigma^i\tau)$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$. Then the actions of σ and τ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma : z_0 \mapsto z_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto z_{n-1} \mapsto z_0,\\
&\tau : z_0 \mapsto z_0,\ z_i \mapsto z_{n-i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words, $k'(z_i : 0 \le i \le n-1)$ is D_n-isomorphic to $k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])$.

Moreover, $\sigma \cdot x(\sigma^i) = x(\sigma^{i+1})$, $\tau \cdot x(\sigma^i) = x(\sigma^{n-i}\tau) = -x(\sigma^{n-i}) + z_{n-i}$. Thus we may apply Theorem 6.2 to $k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n} = k'(z_i, x(\sigma^i) : 0 \le i \le n-1)^{D_n}$. It follows that $k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n} = k'(z_i : 0 \le i \le n-1)^{D_n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])^{D_n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$.

From Step 2, it is easy to find that $k'(R^{ab})^{D_n} \simeq k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])^{D_n}(t_1, t_2, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \simeq k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n/\langle\tau\rangle])^{D_n}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)(t) \simeq k'(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n}(t)$. Done. ■

Theorem 6.5 (Snider [Sn, page 288]) *Let* $1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} D_n \to 1$ *be the free presentation of* D_n *in Definition 1.1. Assume that* $n = 2$. *For any field* k, $k(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ *is rational over* k.

Proof. The following proof is different from that in [Sn].

By Lemma 5.7, $R^{ab} = \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{a} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{b}_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{b}_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{c}_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot \bar{c}_1$.

Define $M_1 = \{x \in R^{ab} : (\sum_{g \in D_2} g) \cdot x = 0\}$, $M_2 = R^{ab}/M_1$. Then $0 \to M_1 \to R^{ab} \to M_2 \to 0$ is a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[D_2]$-lattices. Note that M_2 is a trivial $\mathbb{Z}[D_2]$-lattice because of the definition of M_1.

It is not difficult to show that $M_1 = \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\bar{a} - \bar{b}_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\bar{b}_0 - \bar{b}_1) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot (\bar{c}_0 - \bar{c}_1)$. Hence $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_1 = 3$, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_2 = 2$. It follows that $M_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(2)}$.

By Proposition 6.3 $k(R^{ab})^{D_2} = k(M_1)^{D_2}(x_1, x_2)$. On the other hand, D_2 acts on $k(M_1)$ by purely monomial k-automorphisms in the sense of [HK]. By [HK], $k(M_1)^{D_2}$ is k-rational. ■

Theorem 6.6 *Let* $1 \to R \to F \to D_n \to 1$ *be the free presentation of* D_n *in Definition 1.1. Assume that* n *is an even integer* ≥ 2 *and* K/k *is a Galois extension with* $\operatorname{Gal}(K/k) = D_n$. *Then* $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ *is not retract rational over* k; *in particular, it is not stably rational over* k.

Proof. Let $0 \to R^{ab} \to P \to E \to 0$ be a flabby resolution of R^{ab} where P is a permutation lattice and E is a flabby lattice.

Suppose that $K(R^{ab})^{D_n}$ is retract rational over k. Then E is an invertible lattice by Theorem 2.5.

Note that R^{ab} is a coflabby lattice by Lemma 5.5. In the exact sequence $0 \to R^{ab} \to P \to E \to 0$, since R^{ab} is coflabby and E is invertible, this exact sequence splits by [Len, Proposition 1.2]. Hence $P \simeq R^{ab} \oplus E$. It follows that R^{ab} is invertible; in particular, R^{ab} is flabby. But this is impossible because of Lemma 5.5. ■

Remark. For any field k, there are field extensions $k \subset K \subset L$ such that K is k-rational, L is not retract K-rational, but L is still k-rational.

In fact, take the group D_2 and consider R^{ab}. Define $L = k(R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2}$, $K = k(\mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2}$. Since the group D_2 acts faithfully on $k(R^{ab})$, we may apply Theorem 6.2 to the field $k(R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_2])$. Thus $L = k(R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2} = k(R^{ab})^{D_2}(t_1, \ldots, t_4)$. Since $k(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational by Theorem 6.5. Thus L is k-rational. Clearly $K = k(\mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2}$ is k-rational, a well-known result in Noether's problem.

On the other hand, $L = k(R^{ab} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2}$ may be regarded as a function field of an algebraic torus over $K = k(\mathbb{Z}[D_2])^{D_2}$, which is split by a D_2-extension with character module R^{ab}. By Theorem 6.6 L is not retract K-rational.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4. ————

Consider Theorem 6.4, Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.6. Note that $k(\mathbb{Z}[D_n])^{D_n} \simeq k(D_n)$. ■

The method in the proof of Theorem 6.4 may be applied to other rationality problems. We record one of them in the following.

Theorem 6.7 *Let k be any field, $G = \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^n = \tau^2 = 1, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle \simeq D_n$ where $n \geq 3$ is an odd integer. Define an action of G on the rational function field $k(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ through k-automorphisms defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma : x_1 \mapsto x_2 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{n-1} \mapsto 1/(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}), \\ &\tau : x_i \leftrightarrow x_{n-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $k(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^G$ is stably k-rational if and only if $k(G)$ is stably k-rational.

Proof. We may write $k(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})^G = k(M)^G$ where M is the G-lattice $\mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \tau \rangle]$. Note that M is nothing but N_+ in Definition 3.2.

By Lemma 4.5, we find that $0 \to N_+ \to \widetilde{M_-} \to \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \sigma \rangle] \to 0$ is an exact sequence of G-lattices. By Proposition 6.3, $k(\widetilde{M_-})$ is G-isomorphic to $k(M)(y_1, y_2)$ where $\sigma(y_i) = \tau(y_i) = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$.

By Theorem 3.5, $\widetilde{M_-} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/\langle \tau \rangle] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G] \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, by Proposition 6.3 again, $k(\widetilde{M_-})(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ is G-isomorphic to $k(\mathbb{Z}[G])(z_0)$ where $\sigma(z_i) = \tau(z_i) = z_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

Hence $k(M)^G$ is stably isomorphic to $k(M)^G$ with $M = \mathbb{Z}[G]$, which is nothing but $k(G)$. ■

The following theorem is a special case of Theorem 5.9. We include it here because there are two short proofs for the special case.

Theorem 6.8 *Let R^{ab} be the relation module of the free presentation of D_n in Definition 1.1. If $n = 2$, then R^{ab} is an indecomposable D_2-lattice of rank 5.*

Proof. In the first proof we use computer packages.

We need the GAP package CARAT ([GAP] and [Carat]) to do the computations below. We also need the GAP program `caratnumber.gap` and `crystdat.zip`; see Section 3 of [HY], which are available from
`http://www.math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yamasaki/Algorithm/`.

We compute the CARAT code of R^{ab} when $n = 2$.

```
gap> Read("caratnumber.gap");
gap> S:=[[ 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 ], [ 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 ], [ 0, 1, 0, 0, 0 ],
> [ 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 ], [ 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 ]];;
gap> T:=[[-1, 1, 1, 0, 0 ], [ 0, 0, 1, 1,-1 ], [ 0, 1, 0,-1, 1 ],
> [ 0, 0, 0, 1, 0 ], [ 0, 0, 0, 0, 1 ] ];;
gap> Rab:=Group(S,T);;
gap> CaratZClass(Rab);
[ 5, 19, 17 ]
```

The CARAT code of R^{ab} is $(5, 19, 17)$, so R^{ab} is indecomposable by Table 15 of [HY]. Done.

We proceed to the second proof of this theorem.

Step 1. Suppose that $R^{ab} = L \oplus N$ where L and N are non-zero D_2-lattices. We will find a contradiction.

Recall the actions of σ and τ on the basis elements of R^{ab} in Lemma 5.7 where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma : \bar{a} \mapsto \bar{a},\ \bar{b}_0 \leftrightarrow \bar{b}_1,\ \bar{c}_0 \leftrightarrow \bar{c}_1,\\
&\tau : \bar{a} \mapsto -\bar{a} + \bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_1,\ \bar{b}_0 \mapsto \bar{b}_1 + \bar{c}_0 - \bar{c}_1,\ \bar{b}_1 \mapsto \bar{b}_0 - \bar{c}_0 + \bar{c}_1,\\
&\quad \bar{c}_0 \mapsto \bar{c}_0,\ \bar{c}_1 \mapsto \bar{c}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. In the proof of Theorem 6.5, we define the lattices M_1 and M_2 for R^{ab}. Now we may define lattices L_1 and N_1 for L and N similarly by $L_1 = \{x \in L : (\sum_{g \in D_2} g) \cdot x = 0\}$, $N_1 = \{x \in N : (\sum_{g \in D_2} g) \cdot x = 0\}$. We have $M_1 = L_1 \oplus N_1$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} M_1 = 3$ (see the proof of Theorem 6.5.

Then we define L_2 and N_2 by the exact sequences $0 \to L_1 \to L \to L_2 \to 0$ and $0 \to N_1 \to N \to N_2 \to 0$. As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 6.5, M_2, L_2 and N_2 are trivial D_2-lattices.

We will consider separately the situation $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L = 1$ and the situation $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L = 2$ such that L is indecomposable.

Step 3. Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L = 1$.

If $L_1 \neq \{0\}$, we will show that $K(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational where K/k is a Galois extension with Galois group D_2. This is certainly false, because of Theorem 6.6. The case $L_1 = \{0\}$ will be considered in Step 4.

Now we are going to show that $K(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational.

Since $L_1 \neq \{0\}$, we find that $L_1 = L$ and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} N_1 = 2$, $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} N_2 = 2$.

From the sequence $0 \to N_1 \to N \to N_2 \to 0$, apply Proposition 6.3. We find that $K(R^{ab})^{D_2} = K(L \oplus N_1)^{D_2}(x_1, x_2)$.

By [Vo, page 57], the two-dimensional algebraic torus is rational. We find that $K(L \oplus N_1)^{D_2} = K(L)^{D_2}(y_1, y_2)$. Similarly, $K(L)^{D_2} = k(z)$ because it is just a one-dimensional algebraic torus (see [Vo, page 57]).

This leads to the contradictory conclusion that $K(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational.

Step 4. Consider the case $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L = 1$ with $L_1 = \{0\}$.

Write $L = \mathbb{Z} \cdot u$. Note that $g \cdot u = u$ or $-u$ for any $g \in D_2$. Since $L_1 = \{0\}$, it is easy to verify that D_2 acts trivially on u, i.e. $L \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.

The decomposition $R^{ab} = L \oplus N$ guarantees the existence of the $\mathbb{Z}[D_2]$-morphisms $\phi : L \to R^{ab}$ and $\psi : R^{ab} \to L$ such that $\psi\phi = 1$.

By the actions described in Step 1, since D_2 acts trivially on u, D_2 also acts trivially on $\phi(u)$. It follows that $\phi(u) = s_1(\bar{b}_0 + \bar{b}_1) + s_2(\bar{c}_0 + \bar{c}_1)$ for some integers s_1 and s_2.

On the other hand, let the images of $\bar{a}, \bar{b}_0, \bar{b}_1, \bar{c}_0, \bar{c}_1$ under the morphism ψ are $t_0u, t_1u, t_2u, t_3u, t_4u$ respectively where t_i are integers. From the identity $\psi\phi(u) = u$, we find that $s_1(t_1 + t_2) + s_2(t_3 + t_4) = 1$.

On the other hand, for any element $v \in R^{ab}$, $\psi(\sigma v) = \sigma\psi(v)$. Write $v = x_0\bar{a} + x_1\bar{b}_0 + x_2\bar{b}_1 + x_3\bar{c}_0 + x_4\bar{c}_1$ where x_i are integers. We find that $(t_1 - t_2)(x_1 - x_2) + (t_3 - t_4)(x_3 - x_4) = 0$ for any integers x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4. It follows that $t_1 = t_2$ and $t_3 = t_4$. But this contradicts to the previous identity $s_1(t_1 + t_2) + s_2(t_3 + t_4) = 1$.

Step 5. Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} L = 2$ such that L is indecomposable.

If $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} N_1 \leq 2$, we may show that $K(R^{ab})^{D_2}$ is k-rational by the same method as in Step 3. Thus this case is impossible.

Suppose $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} N_1 = 3$. Then $M_1 = N_1 = N$. Hence L and M_2 are isomorphic, because each of them is isomorphic to R^{ab}/N. Since M_2 is a trivial D_2-lattice, so is L. Thus $L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{(2)}$ is a decomposable lattice. This is impossible again.

■

References

[Carat] J. Opgenorth, W. Plesken and T. Schulz, CARAT, GAP 4 package, version 2.1b1, 2008 (http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/carat/).

[CHK] H. Chu, S. J. Hu and M. Kang, *Noether's problem for dihedral 2-groups*, Comment. Math. Helv. 79 (2004), 147–159.

[CR] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of representation theory vol. 1, Wiley-Interscience Publ., New York, 1981.

[EK] S. Endo and M. Kang, *Algebraic tori revisited*, arXiv: 1406.0949v2.

[EM1] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *Quasi-permutation modules over finite groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 397–421.

[EM2] S. Endo and T. Miyata, *On a classification of the function fields of algebraic tori*, Nagoya Math. J. 56 (1974), 85–104; ibid. 79 (1980), 187–190.

[GAP] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12, 2008 (http://www.gap-system.org).

[Gr1] K. W. Gruenberg, *Relation modules of finite groups*, CBMS Regional Conference Series vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1976.

[Gr2] K. W. Gruenberg, *Free abelianised extensions of finite groups*, in "Homological group theory" edited by C. T. C. Wall, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series vol. 36, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979.

[HK] M. Hajja and M. Kang, *Finite group actions on rational function fields*, J. Algebra 149 (1992), 139–154.

[HKK] A. Hoshi, M. Kang and H. Kitayama, *Quasi-monomial actions and some 4-dimensional rationality problem*, J. Algebra 403 (2014), 363–400.

[HS] P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach, *A course in homological algebra*, Springer GTM vol. 4, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[HY] A. Hoshi and A. Yamasaki, *Rationality problem for algebraic tori*, arXiv: 1210.4525v4.

[Ka] M. Kang, *Retract rational fields*, J. Algebra 349 (2012), 22–37.

[Ku1] B. E. Kunyavskii, *On tori with a biquadratic splitting field*, Math. USSR Izv. 12 (1978), 536–542.

[Ku2] B. E. Kunyavskii, *Tori split over a Galois extension with group S_3*, Studies in Number Theory, Saratov Univ. Press, Saratov, 1982 (Russian).

[Ku3] B. E. Kunyavskii, *Algebraic tori — thirty years after*, Vestnik Samara State Univ. 7 (2007), 198–214; arXiv:0712.4061v2.

[Kur] A. G. Kurosh, *Theory of groups, vol.2*, Chelsea Publ., New York, 1960.

[Le] M. P. Lee, *Integral representations of dihedral groups of order* $2p$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 110 (1964), 213–231.

[Len] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., *Rational functions invariant under a finite abelian group*, Invent. Math. 25 (1974), 299–325.

[Lo] M. Lorenz, *Multiplicative invariant theory*, Encyclo. Math. Sci. vol. 135, Springer-Verlag, 2005, Berlin.

[Ma] W. S. Massey, *Algebraic topology: an introduction*, Harcount, Brace World, New York, 1967.

[Mi] J. Milnor, Introduction to algebraic K-theory, Ann. Math. Studies vol. 72, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1971.

[MS] A. S. Merkurjev and A. A. Suslin, *K-cohomology of Severi-Brauer varieties and the norm residue homomorphism*, Math. USSR Izv. 21 (1983), 307–340.

[Pa] I. B. S. Passi, *Annihilators of relation modules II*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 6 (1975), 235–237.

[Sa] D. J. Saltman, *Retract rational fields and cyclic Galois extensions*, Israel J. Math. 47 (1984), 165–215.

[Sn] R. Snider, *Is the Brauer group generated by cyclic algebras ?* in "Ring theory Waterloo 1978", edited by D. Handelman and J. Lawrence, Springer LNM vol. 734, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[Sw1] R. G. Swan, *Noether's problem in Galois theory*, in "Emmy Noether in Bryn Mawr", edited by B. Srinivasan and J. Sally, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, pp. 21–40.

[Sw2] R. G. Swan, *The flabby class group of a finite cyclic group*, in "Proceedings of the 4th International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians vol. 1", edited by L. Ji, K. Liu, L. Yang and S.-T. Yau, Higher Education Press and International Press, Beijing and Somerville, MA, 2008.

[Vo] V. E. Voskresenskii, *Algebraic groups and their birational invariants*, Translations of Math. Monographs, vol. 179, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998, Providence, Rhode Island.